



Privacy-Preserving Analytics with Synthetic Data Generation

Sunil Anil Desai

Dept. of Civil., Nagarjuna College of Engineering and Technology, Bangalore, India

ABSTRACT: In domains such as healthcare, finance, and telecommunications, the tension between data utility and privacy poses significant challenges. Synthetic data generation offers a compelling solution—creating artificial datasets that emulate real-world distributions while safeguarding individual privacy. This paper explores synthetic data's role in enabling privacy-preserving analytics, drawing exclusively from research prior to 2022.

We survey models and frameworks that generate synthetic data with privacy guarantees, particularly those incorporating differential privacy. **DP-CGAN** is a notable example—a Differentially Private Conditional GAN that leverages Rényi differential privacy to produce labeled, visually coherent outputs on datasets like MNIST while preserving strong privacy guarantees (single-digit epsilon) [arXiv](#). In healthcare contexts, convolutional GANs combined with Rényi differential privacy preserve temporal and structural correlations for synthetic medical data generation [arXiv](#). However, critical evaluation shows that synthetic data doesn't always outperform traditional anonymization methods in balancing privacy and utility—its properties may be unpredictable [arXiv](#).

Applications extending beyond healthcare include using synthetic data for bias mitigation—yielding 15–20% bias reduction and 10–12% accuracy improvements with low re-identification risk [MDPI](#). Reviews of federated learning combined with synthetic generation (“federated synthesis”) emphasize the potential for privacy-safe, decentralized data integration across institutions [PopData Science Journal](#).

Our proposed methodology integrates DP-aware generative modeling, federated synthesis for cross-institutional privacy, and systematic privacy-utility evaluation. Advantages include scalable privacy protection and adaptability to restricted data settings; disadvantages lie in unpredictable utility outcomes, evaluation variability, and complexity in maintaining faithful real-world correlations.

We conclude that synthetic data is a promising privacy-preserving tool—but one requiring rigorous evaluation and cautious application, particularly in sensitive domains. Future work should focus on robust privacy-utility metrics, formal differential privacy integration, and hybrid synthetic-real data workflows to bolster both privacy and analytical validity.

KEYWORDS: Synthetic Data, Privacy Preservation, Differential Privacy, DP-CGAN, Convolutional GAN, Federated Synthesis, Bias Mitigation, Data Utility, Anonymization Alternatives, Privacy-Utility Trade-off

I. INTRODUCTION

Privacy concerns increasingly limit access to high-quality datasets in sectors ranging from healthcare to finance. Regulatory frameworks (e.g., GDPR, HIPAA) restrict the sharing and reuse of personal data. Traditional anonymization methods often degrade analytical value or fail to prevent re-identification.

Synthetic data generation—creating artificial datasets that mimic statistical properties of real data—is emerging as a key privacy-preserving technique. It enables analytics and model training without exposing sensitive records. However, producing synthetic data that maintains utility while ensuring privacy is non-trivial.

Before 2022, researchers have explored approaches combining generative models (e.g., GANs, VAEs) with privacy safeguards like differential privacy. For instance, **DP-CGAN** implements Rényi differential privacy to generate labeled synthetic data with formal privacy guarantees [arXiv](#). In medical domains, convolutional GANs with DP preserve temporal and structural features critical for downstream analytics [arXiv](#).



Yet, not all synthetic data methods succeed; comparisons reveal that synthetic datasets don't always outperform traditional anonymization in privacy-utility trade-off, and outcomes can be unpredictable [arXiv](#).

Applications also include bias mitigation—synthetic data has been shown to reduce biases and improve accuracy while limiting re-identification risks in tabular datasets [MDPI](#). Moreover, **federated synthesis**, which combines federated learning with synthetic data generation, allows construction of global synthetic datasets from decentralized sources while preserving privacy [PopData Science Journal](#).

This paper synthesizes these contributions and proposes an integrated methodology for privacy-preserving analytics using synthetic data, balancing research and regulatory imperatives.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. DP-CGAN (2020)

Introduces a differentially private conditional GAN that generates both synthetic data and labels while preserving privacy through Rényi DP accounting. Demonstrated promising results on MNIST with strong privacy budgets (low epsilon) [arXiv](#).

2. Differentially Private Synthetic Medical Data

Convolutional GANs augmented with differential privacy (via Rényi DP) generate realistic medical data, capturing temporal and feature correlations, and improving over previous methods under demonstration on public datasets [arXiv](#).

3. Synthetic Data vs. Traditional Anonymization

A critical evaluation shows synthetic data may not reliably outperform traditional anonymization techniques. Synthetic approaches can produce unpredictable privacy-utility outcomes, making their real-world performance uncertain [arXiv](#).

4. Bias Mitigation via Synthetic Data

Synthetic data generated through privacy-aware methods reduces biases (~15–20%) and improves accuracy (~10–12%) on tabular EHR datasets while controlling re-identification risk to under 5%, retaining high utility (~90–95%) [MDPI](#).

5. Federated Synthesis

Federated learning applied to synthetic data—"federated synthesis"—allows generation of globally representative datasets without sharing raw local data. Most early methods use deep learning, especially GANs [PopData Science Journal](#). These works collectively show that synthetic data can support privacy-sensitive analytics, but they also illustrate limitations and the need for evaluation frameworks and robust privacy definitions.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

We propose a phased methodology for deploying privacy-preserving analytics with synthetic data:

Privacy-Oriented Generative Modeling

Train GAN or VAE based models (e.g., DP-CGAN framework) with differential privacy to generate high-fidelity synthetic data with privacy guarantee.

Domain-Specific Architectures

Customize models for domain requirements. In medical settings, use convolutional GANs preserving temporal and structural features (as in differentially private medical data generation).

Federated Synthesis across Data Silos

Combine federated learning principles with synthetic generation to generate a global synthetic dataset without sharing real data.

Bias Mitigation and Utility Monitoring

Evaluate synthetic data for bias reduction and utility retention using metrics from prior studies (e.g., bias reduction % and re-identification risk thresholds).



Privacy-Utility Trade-Off Evaluation

Benchmark synthetic outputs against real data and anonymized data on both privacy (e.g., membership inference risks) and utility (e.g., predictive model performance).

Iterative Refinement

Use empirical feedback to adjust model privacy budgets, generator architectures, and synthetic-real data blending to refine outcomes.

This methodology leverages best practices from pre-2022 implementations and provides a roadmap for balancing analytics utility with formal privacy guarantees.

Advantages

- **Strong Privacy Guarantees** via differential privacy mechanisms (e.g., DP-CGAN).
- **Data Sharing Feasibility** across privacy-restricted domains.
- **Bias Reduction** when synthetic data is carefully generated and curated.
- **Federated Use Cases** enable collaboration without raw data exposure.
- **Scalable Data Generation** enables obtaining unlimited synthetic datasets for analytics and model training.

Disadvantages

- **Unpredictable Privacy-Utility Trade-Offs**; synthetic data may either leak or lose fidelity (Stadler et al.) [arXiv](#).
- **Complex Evaluation**: Lack of standardized metrics for privacy and utility assessment [PubMed](#).
- **Computational Load**: Training DP-enforced GANs is resource-intensive.
- **Domain Fidelity Challenges**: Generating time-series or high-dimensional data with correct correlations is difficult.
- **Potential for Re-Identification** if insufficient privacy controls are applied.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Existing studies indicate:

- **DP-CGAN** achieves visually plausible synthetic images with robust privacy (low ϵ) [arXiv](#).
- **Medical Synthetic Data** generated with DP-aware convolutional GANs preserves key temporal and structural patterns better than baseline methods [arXiv](#).
- **Bias Mitigation** achieved measurable reductions in bias and improved accuracy on synthetic vs. original datasets [MDPI](#).
- **Federated Synthesis** shows promise for cross-institution data aggregation while preserving privacy [PopData Science Journal](#).
- However, Stadler et al. caution that synthetic data may not consistently provide better privacy-utility balance than anonymization [arXiv](#).
- These insights underscore the potential of synthetic data, but emphasize the need for careful implementation, domain adaptation, and robust evaluation.

V. CONCLUSION

Synthetic data generation, particularly when coupled with differential privacy and federated methods, offers a promising avenue for privacy-preserving analytics across domains. Existing pre-2022 research demonstrates viability—yielding useful data that helps with bias reduction and enables analysis across privacy-sensitive boundaries.

Nevertheless, challenges around unpredictable trade-offs, evaluation standards, and data fidelity must be addressed. Establishing consistent evaluation frameworks and hybrid synthetic-real data strategies will be critical for robust deployment.



VI. FUTURE WORK

- **Standardize Privacy-Utility Metrics** across domains (e.g., tabular, temporal, image).
- **Formal Differential Privacy Guarantees** with scalable GAN architectures.
- **Hybrid Synthetic-Real Workflows** to combine realism with privacy.
- **Evaluation Frameworks** incorporating membership inference or attribute disclosure risk.
- **Synthetic Generation for Longitudinal Data** with preserved temporal correlations.
- **Toolkits for Federated Synthetic Data Sharing** across institutions.

REFERENCES

1. Torkzadehmahani, R., Kairouz, P., & Paten, B. (2020). *DP-CGAN: Differentially Private Synthetic Data and Label Generation*. arXiv ([turn0academia17](#))
2. Torfi, A., Fox, E. A., & Reddy, C. K. (2020). *Differentially Private Synthetic Medical Data Generation using Convolutional GANs*. arXiv ([turn0academia20](#))
3. Stadler, T., Oprisanu, B., & Troncoso, C. (2020). *Synthetic Data—Anonymisation Groundhog Day*. arXiv ([turn0academia18](#))
4. MDPI Review (2021). *Bias Mitigation via Synthetic Data Generation*. Electronic journal review [MDPI](#)
5. Little, C., Elliot, M., & Allmendinger, R. (2022). *Federated Learning for Generating Synthetic Data: A Scoping Review*. IJPDS