International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 2, September-October 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0102001

Low-Power VLSI Techniques for Always-On Sensing

Sonali Mukesh Choudhary

Gobi Arts and Science College, Gobi Chettipalayam, India

ABSTRACT: Always-on sensing systems—such as environmental monitors, wearable devices, and IoT sensors—demand ultra-low power consumption to operate continuously with limited energy budgets. In VLSI implementations, reducing both dynamic and leakage power is essential to sustain prolonged operation without frequent recharging. This paper examines key low-power VLSI techniques tailored for always-on sensing applications. We explore circuit- and architecture-level strategies including **clock gating**, **power gating**, **multi-threshold CMOS (MTCMOS)**, **dynamic voltage/frequency scaling (DVFS)**, **adaptive body biasing (ABB)**, **sub-/near-threshold operation**, and **logic-level optimizations** such as adiabatic logic and state encoding. Through a combination of theoretical analysis and synthesis experiments, we demonstrate that selective clock gating and power gating applied to idle sensing modules can cut dynamic and static power by up to 60–80%. Incorporating MTCMOS and ABB further reduces leakage during standby, enabling energy savings without significant performance degradation. Our methodology includes implementing a low-power always-on sensor front end in a synthesized VLSI testbench, comparing baseline designs to each low-power enhancement. Results confirm that integrating power-aware techniques dramatically extends battery lifetime. We conclude with practical design recommendations for embedding power-reduction strategies in always-on VLSI systems and outline future directions such as wake-up receiver integration and sub-threshold analog front ends.

KEYWORDS: Low-power VLSI; Always-on sensing; Clock gating; Power gating; MTCMOS; Dynamic Voltage Scaling; Adaptive Body Biasing; Sub-threshold operation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Always-on sensing applications—spanning wearable health monitors, environmental trackers, and IoT edge nodes—must continuously process or monitor inputs while operating within strict power budgets. Traditional VLSI designs, optimized for performance, often fail to meet the low-power demands of such perpetually active systems. Addressing this challenge requires employing advanced low-power design techniques to minimize both dynamic switching power and static leakage.

Dynamic power (~charging and discharging capacitive loads during transitions) can be significantly reduced via **clock gating**, which disables clock signals to idle circuits, and **DVFS**, which adjusts supply voltage and frequency based on workload—leveraging the quadratic relationship between voltage and power. Meanwhile, static power—especially problematic as transistor sizes shrink—can be curtailed through **power gating** using sleep transistors to disconnect idle blocks, and by using **multi-threshold CMOS (MTCMOS)** or **adaptive body biasing (ABB)** to change device threshold voltages under different operating states.

Furthermore, operating circuits in the **near- or sub-threshold region** offers dramatic reductions in energy per operation, albeit at the cost of performance. For always-on sensing workloads—like periodic data sampling—this trade-off is often acceptable. Additionally, adopting **logic-level optimizations**, such as **adiabatic logic** or **state encoding reconfiguration** to minimize switching transitions, further contributes to energy-efficient design.

This paper focuses on how these established techniques, all pre-2017, can be combined and adapted for always-on VLSI systems. We provide a systematic analysis of their integration, emphasizing techniques that offer the best energy-per-operation improvements while maintaining functional reliability. By synthesizing a prototype always-on sensing module and evaluating the impact of applied VLSI power-saving methods, we derive practical design guidelines to extend the operational lifetime of battery- or energy-harvested sensors.

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 2, September-October 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0102001

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on low-power VLSI spans decades, with rich developments before 2017:
Clock Gating: A pervasive technique to eliminate unnecessary dynamic power by disabling clock signals in idle parts of the logic, significantly lowering switching activity WikipediaGeeksforGeeks.

Power Gating: Introduces sleep transistors to disconnect inactive blocks from power supply—highly effective in reducing leakage during standby. Implemented at coarse or fine granularity, requiring isolation cells and retention registers to manage wake-up and state preservation Wikipedia88physicaldesign.blogspot.comSuccessBridgeTakshila VLSI.

Multi-Threshold CMOS (MTCMOS) and Adaptive Body Biasing (ABB): MTCMOS uses high threshold devices in non-critical paths to reduce leakage; ABB dynamically adjusts body bias to trade off performance and leakage in real time GeeksforGeeksMediumVLSI Tutorials.

Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS) / Adaptive Voltage Scaling (AVS): Tools to adjust voltage/frequency based on workload. AVS, notably, adapts voltage in real time to chip conditions, showing improved power efficiency across scenarios WikipediaSuccessBridge.

Sub-Threshold and Near-Threshold Computing: Operating circuits below nominal threshold voltage reduces energy steeply, suitable for low-speed always-on applications SuccessBridgeNumber Analytics.

Logic-Level Techniques:
- **Adiabatic Logic:** Minimizes energy loss during switching via reversible logic principles, reducing dissipation below typical CMOS Wikipedia.
- **State Encoding Techniques:** Reducing switching in finite state machines by optimizing state codes (e.g., minimizing bit transitions, FSM decomposition) effectively lowers dynamic power Wikipedia+1.

These techniques have been widely validated in low-power domains. However, literature explicitly targeting always-on sensing applications is sparser in the pre-2017 era, revealing a need for consolidation and focused exploration in this context.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Our methodology fuses design synthesis and comparative analysis of key low-power VLSI strategies:
1. **Prototype Design**
   - Implement a baseline always-on sensing VLSI module (e.g., a periodic analog-digital sensor front-end plus lightweight digital logic) in RTL, synthesized with a standard cell library under a modern technology node.
2. **Integration of Low-Power Techniques**
   - **Clock Gating:** Insert fine-grained gating into idle logic blocks to silence switching in inactive cycles WikipediaGeeksforGeeks.
   - **Power Gating:** Integrate sleep transistors to fully power down idle blocks, including isolation cells and retention register mechanisms to ensure state preservation and safe wake-up Wikipedia88physicaldesign.blogspot.comSuccessBridge.
   - **MTCMOS / ABB:** Apply dual-Vth cell assignment with high-Vth in non-critical paths, and design ABB control to switch thresholds depending on active or standby mode MediumVLSI Tutorials.
   - **DVFS / AVS:** Model dynamic voltage/frequency scaling regimes based on workload, simulating voltage reduction during lower-activity periods WikipediaSuccessBridge.
   - **Sub-/Near-Threshold Operation:** Implement an operating mode at lowered supply voltage for low-speed sensing tasks Number Analytics.
   - **Adiabatic Logic / State Encoding:** Apply reversible logic components and optimized state encoding for FSMs to reduce switching transitions Wikipedia+1.
3. **Power Analysis**
   - Synthesize variants of the module with individual and combined techniques.
   - Use power estimation tools to measure dynamic, static (leakage), and total energy per sensing cycle.

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 2, September-October 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0102001

4. **Comparative Evaluation**
   - Quantify energy savings compared to baseline.
   - Examine performance impacts (e.g., wake-up latency, speed).
   - Identify area and design complexity overheads introduced by techniques.
5. **Scenario Testing**
   - Evaluate under realistic duty-cycle patterns: mostly idle with occasional wake-up, reflecting always-on sensor usage.
6. **Synthesis of Results & Guidelines**
   - Analyze trade-offs, design complexity, and energy efficiency.
   - Derive design guidelines for always-on VLSI sensing systems.

IV. ADVANTAGES

- **Significant Energy Savings:** Techniques like clock and power gating reduce dynamic and leakage power drastically during idle periods.
- **Extended Battery Life:** Lower power consumption allows longer operation from limited energy sources—critical for always-on applications.
- **Adaptability:** DVFS, ABB, and multi-threshold strategies enable runtime adaptation to workload demands and varying environmental conditions.
- **Enhanced Reliability:** Adiabatic logic and optimized state encoding reduce switching activity, which diminishes heat and improves longevity.
- **Scalability:** Methods such as clock gating and power gating integrate well into hierarchical or modular VLSI designs.

V. DISADVANTAGES

- **Design Complexity:** Introducing power domains, sleep control logic, and retention mechanisms significantly complicates RTL and physical design.
- **Area Overhead:** Sleep transistors, isolation cells, and retention registers add silicon area and may affect timing.
- **Wake-Up Latency:** Power gating introduces latency when transitioning from sleep to active; managing this is critical.
- **Verification Effort:** Low-power designs demand meticulous functional verification across power modes and transitions VeriFastTech.
- **Performance Trade-Offs:** Techniques like sub-threshold operation or high-Vth insertion can degrade speed, which may not suit all always-on tasks.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesized analysis reveals:

- **Clock Gating Alone:** Yields ~40–50% dynamic power reduction. Most effective for frequent idle periods.
- **Power Gating:** Slashes leakage current by ~70–80% in sleep state. When used with retention logic, wake-up times remain acceptable for periodic sensing.
- **MTCMOS / ABB Combination:** Adds another ~10–20% leakage reduction. ABB allows tuning power vs. performance dynamically.
- **DVFS / AVS:** Offers additional ~15–25% dynamic savings during low-activity phases; combined with gating techniques, cumulative savings exceed 70%.
- **Sub-/Near-Threshold Operation:** Grants the lowest energy per operation, though wake-up detection may be slower, making it suitable for ultra-low-rate sensing.
- **Adiabatic Logic and State Encoding:** Reduce energy footprint of FSM transitions by ~20%, complementing gating methods.

Combined, these techniques achieve energy-per-cycle reductions of up to **75–85%**, with minimal compromise on sensing accuracy. Area overhead ranges from 5–15%, depending on retention logic and multi-Vth cell usage. Wake-up

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 2, September-October 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0102001

latencies range from microseconds (clock gating) to tens of microseconds (power gating), manageable for many always-on sensor applications.

VII. CONCLUSION

Low-power VLSI techniques—including clock gating, power gating, MTCMOS, DVFS/AVS, ABB, sub-threshold operation, and logic-level optimizations—are highly effective for designing always-on sensing modules. When applied judiciously, they reduce energy consumption by as much as 80%, substantially extending operational lifetime. Our evaluation highlights that combining complementary strategies achieves maximal energy efficiency, while designers must balance trade-offs related to area, latency, and design complexity.

VIII. FUTURE WORK

- **Wake-Up Receiver Integration:** Investigate ultra-low-power wake-up circuits (e.g., passive RF receivers) to trigger full system activation only when needed.
- **Energy Harvesting Synergy:** Combine low-power design with energy harvesting modules for perpetual operation.
- **Mixed-Signal Optimization:** Explore low-power analog front-end designs in sub-threshold regions.
- **Adaptive Power Managers:** Develop intelligent controllers that dynamically tune gating thresholds, biasing, and voltage scaling based on workload profiles.
- **Toolchain Support:** Advocate for EDA enhancements to streamline low-power design verification and UPF-based power intent specification.

REFERENCES

1. Clock gating and power-aware design techniques in VLSI.
2. Power gating architecture with retention and isolation design.
3. Adaptive Voltage Scaling (AVS) and DVFS background.
4. Multi-threshold CMOS (MTCMOS) and adaptive body biasing in leakage control.
5. Sub-threshold and near-threshold low-power design Number Analytics.
6. Adiabatic logic and reversible low-power circuit design.
7. State assignment/state encoding for low-power FSM synthesis