International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 3, November-December 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0103001

# mmWave Antenna Arrays for Early 5G Trials

**Vishal Anil Patel**

Government Degree College Khairatabad – Hyderabad, India

**ABSTRACT:** Emerging 5G trials, beginning before standardization, relied on millimeter-wave (mmWave) frequencies to unlock unprecedented bandwidth and data rates. Such early implementations faced significant hardware challenges, including high path loss at mmWave bands and the complexity of large antenna arrays. This study surveys design strategies and subsystem techniques developed prior to 2017 to address these hurdles in early 5G prototypes. Two main architectural directions—phased-array arrays implementing beamforming via hybrid precoding and lens antenna arrays implementing path-division multiplexing—demonstrate compelling trade-offs between RF chain complexity and array performance. Phased-array based hybrid precoding reduces hardware burden by mixing analog beamforming with digital baseband processing, while lens array techniques focus energy based on path direction, enabling low-complexity, capacity-approaching MIMO behavior. We review comparative analyses of these approaches, supported by numerical results in pre-2017 literature, and explore antenna array form factors such as SIW (substrate-integrated waveguide) or patch array configurations for mmWave directions. Results show that each configuration improves beamforming gain while managing cost and power. The paper concludes with guidelines for hardware-efficient mmWave antenna array design in early 5G testbeds and contextualizes future work on dynamic beam steering, integration, and hybrid RF architecture.

**KEYWORDS:** mmWave; 5G; antenna arrays; phased-array; lens antenna array; hybrid precoding; beamforming; early trials; RF complexity

## I. INTRODUCTION

As telecommunications evolved towards the fifth generation (5G), early trials embraced mmWave bands (roughly 25–40 GHz and beyond) to exploit vast, underutilized spectrum for high-throughput applications. Implementing antenna arrays at these frequencies was critical to overcome severe propagation losses and achieve necessary beamforming gains. Yet hardware complexity, high power consumption, and cost were major practical constraints in prototype settings.

This paper focuses on pre-2017 developments in mmWave antenna array techniques tailored for early 5G experiments. Two prominent hardware-efficient strategies emerge. First, **phased-array based hybrid precoding** uses analog beamforming combined with a limited number of RF chains to reduce hardware overhead while providing directional control. Second, **lens antenna arrays** exploit angular sparsity in mmWave channels by focusing energy along specific paths, enabling capacity-achieving MIMO with fewer RF chains.

Additionally, array form factors such as SIW or PCB-based patch arrays were used in early trials to fabricate beam-steering arrays with manageable complexity. Techniques like substrate-integrated waveguide (SIW) or cost-effective printed MIMO slot elements allowed early hardware teams to prototype beam-steering arrays for field tests.

This work surveys these pre-2017 designs and evaluates their suitability for early 5G trial systems. By synthesizing their relative advantages in terms of beamforming performance, cost, and integration feasibility, this study provides a reference for future experimental platform design and informs evolving 5G and beyond array architectures.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

### 1. Hybrid Precoding for mmWave MIMO (2016)

Gao, Dai, and Sayeed (2016) examine low RF-complexity approaches for mmWave MIMO. Their work highlights **phased-array–based hybrid precoding (PAHP)** and **lens-array hybrid precoding (LAHP)**, comparing hardware and performance trade-offs. Both techniques promise large array gains with reduced RF chain count arXiv.

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 3, November-December 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0103001

**2. Lens Antenna Arrays and Path Division Multiplexing (2015)**
Zeng and Zhang (2015) propose a **lens antenna array** capable of path-division multiplexing (OPDM), exploiting mmWave's angular sparsity. Their design aligns array response peaks with signal angle-of-arrival/departure, enabling multiplexing with fewer RF chains, lower cost, and near-capacity performance arXiv.

**3. Costs and Efficiency of Lens Arrays (2016)**
Zeng and Zhang (2016) further analyze lens-antenna systems in mmWave communications, demonstrating high spectrum and energy efficiency in wideband channels with very few RF chains—ideal for early 5G prototypes arXiv.

**4. Phased Array Beamforming Architectures**
Conventional mmWave beamforming in early hardware trials used phased-array antenna panels controlled by beamforming ICs. Although literature on early trials is limited, resources like NXP describe designs integrating ICs into phased-array PCBs to shape directed beams—essential in demonstration systems NXP Semiconductors.

**5. Fabrication Techniques: Patch and SIW Arrays**
Slide-based overviews highlight practical designs: patch or slot antenna arrays implemented on SIW substrates, achieving high gain (around 11 dBi at 28–38 GHz), wide impedance bandwidth, beam steering, and compact integration suitable for early 5G mobile prototypes www.slideshare.net. ProQuest notes SIW technology's benefits in integration, low losses, and manufacturability for mmWave array systems ProQuest.

## III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

We synthesize pre-2017 literature using a structured survey methodology:
1. **Source Identification**: Focus on articles before 2017 addressing mmWave antenna arrays, hybrid precoding, and lens array designs. Key references include Gao et al. (2016), Zeng & Zhang (2015, 2016), NXP hardware descriptions (2017?), and SIW/patch array designs.
2. **Technical Comparison**: Analyze each approach in terms of:
   - Hardware complexity (RF chain count; beamforming network)
   - Array performance (gain, beam steering capability, capacity)
   - Integration feasibility (substrate, prototype fabrication, scalability)
3. **Qualitative Synthesis**: Compare cost–performance trade-offs of hybrid precoding versus lens arrays and patch/SIW physical implementations.
4. **Application Context Mapping**: Align design attributes with requirements of early 5G trials—rapid prototyping, manageable power/cost, beam steering accuracy, and integration with beamforming electronics.
5. **Guideline Derivation**: From synthesis, formulate practical recommendations for array architecture selection in early 5G trial settings, noting when a hybrid or lens-based system offers optimal value given resource constraints.

## IV. ADVANTAGES

- **Reduced Hardware Cost**: Hybrid precoding and lens arrays both cut down RF chain count, lowering cost and power.
- **Beamforming Capability**: Phased arrays facilitate dynamic beam steering; lens arrays enable high beam gain with angular selectivity.
- **Efficient Use of mmWave Channel Characteristics**: Lens arrays exploit angular sparsity effectively; phased arrays take advantage of beamforming flexibility.
- **Prototyping Feasibility**: SIW and patch arrays are manufacturable and integrable with early hardware platforms.

## V. DISADVANTAGES

- **Complexity in Beamformer Design**: Hybrid precoding requires accurate analog/digital coordination and calibration.
- **Fabrication Challenges**: Lens arrays and SIW structures require precise manufacturing in mmWave frequency bands.
- **Limited Flexibility for Lens Arrays**: Lens-based systems may not easily adapt to dynamic beam steering or mobility scenarios.

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 1, Issue 3, November-December 2018||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2018.0103001

- **Prototyping Rigidity**: Early patch or SIW arrays may lack tunable functionality, limiting adaptability in trials.

## VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pre-2017 research suggests:

- **Hybrid Precoding** systems achieve near-optimal MIMO performance while significantly reducing RF chain demand—ideal for field trials where complexity must be balanced with performance arXiv.
- **Lens Antenna Arrays** enable capacity-approaching performance with minimal RF complexity, particularly beneficial in static or controlled trial environments with angular channel sparsity arXiv+1.
- **Patch/SIW Arrays** offer practical implementations for mmWave beamforming, spanning wide bandwidths with sufficient gain for early mobile prototype trials, though their beam agility may be limited www.slideshare.netProQuestNXP Semiconductors.

Thus, early 5G prototypes may adopt phased-array hybrid precoding for flexibility, or lens-based systems for cost-efficient static deployment; physical array form factors (SIW/patch) provide viable manufacturing platforms.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Early 5G trials leveraged mmWave antenna array innovations to bridge high-frequency challenges with hardware practicality. Hybrid precoding and lens array strategies emerged as two dominant approaches balancing performance and complexity. Patch/SIW array platforms provided accessible fabrication routes. Together, these designs formed a toolkit enabling early mmWave experimentation prior to full-scale 5G deployments.

## VIII. FUTURE WORK

- **Dynamic Hybrid–Lens Integration**: Combine lens front-end with phased-array back-end to support both capacity and flexibility.
- **Advanced Beam Steering Networks**: Implement Butler matrices or reconfigurable beamformers for rapid beam switching.
- **Antenna-in-Package Solutions**: Integrate array systems with beamforming ICs into compact packages.
- **Low-Cost Reconfigurable Substrates**: Explore LCP or metasurface substrates for lightweight and flexible mmWave array fabrication.

## REFERENCES

1. Gao, X., Dai, L., & Sayeed, A. M. (2016). *Low RF-Complexity Technologies to Enable Millimeter-Wave MIMO with Large Antenna Array for 5G Wireless Communications* arXiv.
2. Zeng, Y., & Zhang, R. (2015). *Millimeter Wave MIMO with Lens Antenna Array: A New Path Division Multiplexing Paradigm* arXiv.
3. Zeng, Y., & Zhang, R. (2016). *Cost-Effective Millimeter Wave Communications with Lens Antenna Array* arXiv.
4. NXP Semiconductors. *Designing a 5G mmWave Antenna Demonstrator with Beamforming IC and Phased Array* (pre-2017 prototype context) NXP Semiconductors.
5. Overview of mmWave patch/SIW antenna arrays for 5G frequencies (e.g., 28–38 GHz) with high gain and wide bandwidth www.slideshare.net.
6. ProQuest review highlighting SIW array integration benefits for mmWave communications.