



Graph Neural Networks for Supply Chain Risk Propagation

Priyanka Rajiv Yadav

KKR & KSR Institute of Technology and Sciences, Guntur, A.P, India

ABSTRACT: Supply chains form complex, interconnected networks where disruptions—such as supplier failures, geopolitical events, or natural disasters—can trigger cascading risks throughout the system. Traditional models often lack the capacity to capture these multi-hop dependencies and dynamic propagation effects. **Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)**, which aggregate information across network structures, offer a powerful alternative for modeling risk propagation. This paper surveys pre-2020 work that leverages GNNs to represent supply chain entities as nodes and their interdependencies as edges, enabling prediction of systemic risks. Key methodologies include Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs) and Graph Attention Networks (GATs), which support modeling of relationships and varied influences among supply chain actors Wikipedia. GNNs enhance understanding of how risk travels across tiers and help identify critical vulnerability points. Though early applications—such as supplier selection and procurement optimization—did not explicitly target risk propagation, they laid the foundation by evaluating relational risks and dynamic adaptation in supply networks ResearchGate. Challenges of applying GNNs in this domain include scalability, interpretability, and data availability. Addressing these issues is essential for deploying GNN-driven risk analysis in real-world supply chain management. This paper synthesizes relevant architectural approaches, discusses strengths and limitations, and outlines future directions anchored in pre-2020 foundations.

KEYWORDS: Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), Supply Chain Risk Propagation, Graph Convolutional Networks (GCN), Graph Attention Networks (GAT), Supplier Dependency Networks, Network-Based Risk Modeling, Interpretability, Scalability

I. INTRODUCTION

Supply chains are inherently graph-structured systems, composed of multiple tiers of suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and retailers. Disruptions in one part of the network—due to factory shutdowns, raw material shortages, or geopolitical instability—can **propagate**, causing widespread operational and financial risk across tiers. Understanding and mitigating such risk propagation is critical for resilience. Traditional risk modeling techniques in supply chain management (SCM) often rely on linear assumptions or isolated node-level analysis, failing to account for **networked interdependencies**. The rise of **Graph Neural Networks (GNNs)** presents a compelling alternative: by learning distributed representations through **message-passing across nodes**, GNNs can capture **multi-hop effects** and complex relational dynamics. Among GNN variants, **Graph Convolutional Networks (GCNs)** (Kipf & Welling, 2017) apply localized convolution operations on graph signals, enabling firms to model structural influences across neighboring nodes Wikipedia. **Graph Attention Networks (GATs)** (Veličković et al., 2018) introduce attention mechanisms to weight neighbor contributions adaptively, enhancing interpretability and allowing identification of key risk channels Wikipedia. Although direct applications of GNNs to supply chain risk propagation were sparse before 2020, foundational research in **supplier selection and risk-aware procurement** demonstrated GNNs' capacity to capture intersupplier relationships and dynamic adaptation to changing network conditions ResearchGate. These early efforts signal the promise of GNNs in risk modeling, setting the stage for more explicit propagation-focused applications in later years. This review explores the potential of GNNs for modeling supply chain risk propagation, assessing architectural choices (GCN, GAT), benefits, challenges, and pre-2020 methodological groundwork.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Fundamental GNN Architectures

Graph Neural Networks exploit graph structures to learn representations that consider both node attributes and network topology. **GCNs** leverage normalized adjacency-based convolution to blend node and neighbor features Wikipedia. **GATs** refine this with attention mechanisms to differentiate neighbor influence Wikipedia.



Supply Chain Applications Pre-2020

While explicit risk propagation modeling using GNNs was not yet prevalent, early studies highlight GNN use in supply chain contexts:

- **Supplier Selection & Procurement:** GNNs facilitated holistic supplier evaluation by modeling supplier relationships and identifying risks related to network position and dependencies. These models enabled dynamic adaptation and risk-aware procurement despite interpretability and scalability challenges ResearchGate. These foundational works underscore that even before targeted risk propagation models, GNNs were already capturing complex supply network dependencies necessary for risk-aware decision-making.

Gaps & Challenges Identified

Pre-2020 GNN applications in SCM focused on optimization and recommendation rather than explicit risk modeling. Key limitations included the **scalability** of GNNs to large supply networks and their **black-box nature**, which hindered practitioner's trust and interpretability ResearchGate.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Given the pre-2020 landscape, our methodology involves:

1. **Architecture Review**
 - Assessing the theoretical underpinnings of GNN architectures (GCN, GAT) to understand their capacity for modeling relational risk Wikipedia.
2. **Domain Mapping**
 - Mapping supply chain risk propagation dynamics to GNN constructs: nodes as firms, edges as interdependencies, propagation as multi-hop message passing.
3. **Case Analysis Synthesis**
 - Reviewing early supply chain-focused GNN applications (e.g., supplier selection) to extrapolate implications for risk propagation modeling ResearchGate.
4. **Comparative Evaluation**
 - Contrasting GNN approaches with traditional network analytics and machine learning strategies in SCM to highlight unique affordances for risk tracing and multi-tier impact assessment.
5. **Challenge Assessment**
 - Evaluating limitations in scalability, interpretability, and data representation based on documented issues in early supply chain GNN work ResearchGate.

IV. ADVANTAGES

- **Relational Risk Modeling:** Captures complex, multi-tier dependencies, enabling modeling of how disruptions propagate.
- **Adaptive Neighbor Influence:** Attention mechanisms (e.g., GATs) allow the model to highlight critical risk pathways.
- **Dynamic Risk Response:** GNNs trained on evolving network snapshots support proactive risk forecasting.
- **Network-Aware Risk Identification:** Helps identify structurally pivotal suppliers whose failure would propagate significant disruption.

V. DISADVANTAGES

- **Scalability Constraints:** Large supply networks challenge early GNN models, as noted in supplier selection studies ResearchGate.
- **Interpretability Limits:** GNNs can act as opaque models; understanding why a risk propagates is non-trivial ResearchGate.
- **Data Quality & Availability:** Supply chain networks may lack complete relational data, impeding GNN training and deployment.
- **Lack of Pre-2020 Risk-Specific Models:** No explicit implementations existed for risk propagation, only inferred from related GNN use cases.



VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- **Proof of Concept in Supplier Evaluation:** GNN models in supplier selection illustrate the feasibility of capturing network-level risk considerations—even if not explicitly modeled as risk propagation ResearchGate.
- **Translatability to Risk Propagation:** GCNs and GATs inherently support multi-hop influence spread, making them well-suited to model ripple effects in supply disruptions.
- **Scalability & Interpretability Trade-offs:** Early work highlights the need for mechanisms to scale GNNs and deliver actionable insights to supply chain managers.

VII. CONCLUSION

By 2020, GNNs had begun to penetrate supply chain analytics, demonstrating the value of network-aware modeling. Though no direct risk propagation frameworks existed, GNN's message-passing and attention mechanisms make them promising foundations for modeling the ripple effects of disruptions. Overcoming scalability and interpretability barriers is key for wider adoption.

VIII. FUTURE WORK

- **Explicit Risk Propagation Models:** Develop GCN/GAT models trained on simulated disruptions to forecast impact spread.
- **Explainability Enhancements:** Integrate attention visualization to highlight root-propagation paths.
- **Scalable Architectures:** Employ techniques such as GraphSAGE or subgraph sampling for large networks.
- **Hybrid Models:** Combine GNNs with causal propagation or probabilistic modeling to better reflect supply chain dynamics.
- **Data Enrichment:** Build richer supply chain graphs incorporating tier information, volumes, and lead times.

REFERENCES

1. Kipf, T. N., & Welling, M. (2017). *Semi-Supervised Classification with Graph Convolutional Networks*. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.02907>
Introduced GCNs, foundational for node representation and message passing in graphs.
2. Veličković, P., Cucurull, G., Casanova, A., Romero, A., Liò, P., & Bengio, Y. (2018). *Graph Attention Networks*. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.10903>
Proposed attention mechanisms for GNNs, improving flexibility and interpretability.
3. Scarselli, F., Gori, M., Tsoi, A. C., Hagenbuchner, M., & Monfardini, G. (2009). *The Graph Neural Network Model*. In *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*. DOI: 10.1109/TNN.2008.2005605
One of the earliest formalizations of GNNs.
4. Choi, T. Y., Dooley, K. J., & Rungtusanatham, M. (2001). *Supply networks and complex adaptive systems: control versus emergence*. In *Journal of Operations Management*, 19(3), 351–366.
Discusses supply chains as complex networks, foundational for later graph-based modeling.
5. Nair, A., & Vidal, J. M. (2011). *Supply network topology and robustness against disruptions – an investigation using multi-agent model*. In *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(5), 1391–1404. DOI: 10.1080/00207543.2010.536902
Examines how disruption propagates across supply chain networks.
6. Thadakamalla, H. P., et al. (2004). *Survivability of multiagent-based supply networks: A topological perspective*. In *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 19(5), 24–31. DOI: 10.1109/MIS.2004.42
Risk propagation in networks studied through topology—early support for graph-based methods.
8. Pathak, S. D., Day, J. M., Nair, A., Sawaya, W. J., & Kristal, M. M. (2007). *Complexity and adaptivity in supply networks: Building supply network theory using a complex adaptive systems perspective*. In *Decision Sciences*, 38(4), 547–580. DOI: 10.1111/j.1540-5915.2007.00170.x
Explores supply networks as dynamic systems—relevant for GNN-based modeling.
9. Bellamy, M. A., Ghosh, S., & Hora, M. (2014). *The influence of supply network structure on firm innovation*. In *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(6), 357–373. DOI: 10.1016/j.jom.2014.09.001
Leverages network structures to study influence and flow—core concepts in GNNs.



11. Wu, Z., Pan, S., Chen, F., Long, G., Zhang, C., & Yu, P. S. (2019). *A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks*. In *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(1), 4–24. DOI: 10.1109/TNNLS.2020.2978386

Although survey was published in early 2020, it only includes works until 2019. Still valid as pre-2020 source of methods.

12. Zhou, J., Cui, G., Zhang, Z., Yang, C., Liu, Z., Wang, L., & Sun, M. (2018). *Graph Neural Networks: A Review of Methods and Applications*. arXiv:1812.08434 <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.08434>

Reviews early applications of GNNs in social, citation, and recommendation networks—methodologies transferable to supply chains.