



Measuring Business Value of End-to-End Quote-to-Cash with SAP CPQ + CRM + ECC/S4HANA

Vinayak Kalabhavi

Denken Solutions, USA

vinayakkalabhavi@gmail.com

Publication History: 25.01. 2026 (Received); 25.02.2026 (Revised); 01.03. 2026 (Accepted); 05.03.2026 (Published).

ABSTRACT: This paper examines measurement frameworks for assessing business value of integrated quote-to-cash (Q2C) processes spanning SAP CPQ, SAP CRM, and SAP ECC/S4HANA systems. Through secondary research, this study explores key performance indicators, value realization mechanisms, and measurement methodologies for end-to-end Q2C implementations. Evidence demonstrates that integrated Q2C implementations reduce cycle times by 35-50%, improve forecast accuracy by 25-40%, and increase revenue capture by 5-15% through reduced leakage. Organizations implementing comprehensive Q2C strategies achieve competitive advantages through operational efficiency, improved cash flow, and enhanced customer experience. This paper synthesizes findings from academic literature, industry research, and case studies to provide frameworks for measuring and realizing Q2C business value.

KEYWORDS: quote-to-cash, SAP CPQ, SAP CRM, SAP S4HANA, business value measurement, process integration, revenue optimization

I. INTRODUCTION

Quote-to-cash (Q2C) represents the end-to-end business process spanning initial customer quotation through cash collection, encompassing quotation, order management, fulfillment, billing, revenue recognition, and payment processing (Gartner, 2021). Q2C process efficiency directly impacts revenue velocity, working capital requirements, customer satisfaction, and competitive positioning. However, many organizations struggle with Q2C complexity due to system fragmentation, manual handoffs, data inconsistencies, and limited visibility across process stages (Apptus, 2020).

Integrated Q2C solutions combining SAP CPQ for quotation management, SAP CRM for customer engagement and opportunity management, and SAP ECC/S4HANA for order fulfillment and financial processes promise substantial business value through process automation, data consistency, and end-to-end visibility (SAP, 2022). However, realizing and measuring this value requires comprehensive frameworks spanning multiple dimensions including operational efficiency, financial performance, customer experience, and strategic capabilities (Kaplan & Norton, 2001).

Research indicates integrated Q2C implementations deliver average revenue cycle improvements of 25-40%, days sales outstanding (DSO) reductions of 15-25%, and quote-to-order conversion increases of 10-20% (Aberdeen Group, 2020). These improvements translate to significant financial impacts including accelerated cash flow, reduced working capital requirements, and increased revenue through faster deal closure and reduced revenue leakage (Apptus, 2020).

This paper investigates measurement frameworks for Q2C business value in integrated SAP landscapes. The research question is: How can organizations comprehensively measure business value realized from integrated quote-to-cash implementations spanning SAP CPQ, CRM, and ECC/S4HANA systems?

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Quote-to-Cash Process Overview

Quote-to-cash encompasses multiple sub-processes executed across various organizational functions and information systems (Gartner, 2021). Key Q2C stages include: opportunity management (identifying and qualifying sales



opportunities), quotation (configuring solutions and pricing), order management (converting quotes to orders), fulfillment (delivering products or services), billing (invoicing customers), revenue recognition (accounting for earned revenue), and collection (receiving payment) (Apptus, 2020).

Each Q2C stage involves specific activities, information requirements, and system interactions. Opportunity management tracks potential deals, evaluates probability and timing, and forecasts expected revenue (Payne & Frow, 2005). Quotation configures offerings, applies pricing rules, and generates proposals. Order management validates orders, checks availability, schedules delivery, and initiates fulfillment (Chopra & Meindl, 2015). Fulfillment coordinates logistics, production, or service delivery ensuring customer requirements are met. Billing generates invoices reflecting delivered goods, services, and contractual terms. Revenue recognition applies accounting rules determining when revenue is recognized in financial statements (Bragg, 2010). Collection pursues payment, applies cash, and manages delinquencies.

Traditional Q2C implementations suffer from system fragmentation with separate applications for each stage requiring manual data entry, reconciliation, and hand-offs (Apptus, 2020). This fragmentation creates inefficiencies, errors, delays, and limited visibility impeding optimization and customer experience (Kaplan & Norton, 2001). Integrated Q2C platforms address these limitations through unified data models, automated workflows, and end-to-end process visibility.

Business Value Measurement Frameworks

Measuring IT and business process initiative value requires comprehensive frameworks spanning multiple dimensions beyond simple cost-benefit calculations (Kaplan & Norton, 2001). The Balanced Scorecard approach evaluates initiatives across four perspectives: financial (revenue, profitability, cash flow), customer (satisfaction, retention, acquisition), internal process (efficiency, quality, cycle time), and learning/growth (capabilities, innovation, culture) (Kaplan & Norton, 2005).

IT value realization frameworks emphasize phased value emergence recognizing that benefits manifest at different times and require organizational changes beyond technology deployment (Ward & Daniel, 2012). Early benefits include operational efficiency gains and error reduction. Intermediate benefits emerge as organizations optimize processes enabled by new systems. Long-term strategic benefits require transformational changes in business models, customer engagement, or competitive positioning (Peppard et al., 2007).

Value measurement methodologies range from quantitative financial metrics (ROI, NPV, payback period) to qualitative assessments of strategic positioning and organizational capabilities (Irani et al., 2005). Comprehensive approaches combine quantitative and qualitative measures recognizing that many strategic benefits resist precise financial quantification (Melville et al., 2004). Leading organizations establish measurement frameworks before implementation, baseline current performance, and track metrics longitudinally demonstrating value realization over time.

Integration Architecture in SAP Landscapes

SAP integration architectures for Q2C leverage multiple technologies including SAP Process Integration/Process Orchestration (PI/PO), SAP Cloud Platform Integration (CPI), and direct RFC/API connections (SAP, 2022). Integration patterns include: real-time synchronous calls for immediate data validation, asynchronous message-based integration for high-volume transactions, batch integration for periodic data synchronization, and event-driven architectures triggering actions based on business events (Monk & Wagner, 2013).

CPQ-to-CRM integration synchronizes accounts, contacts, opportunities, products, quotes, and orders ensuring sales teams access consistent information (Gartner, 2021). CRM-to-ERP integration transfers sales orders, customer master data, and fulfillment status enabling seamless order processing. ERP-to-billing integration provides consumption data, delivery confirmations, and contract terms supporting accurate invoicing. Billing-to-financial integration posts revenue, receivables, and cash application maintaining financial integrity (Chopra & Meindl, 2015).

Integration challenges include data mapping complexities with different data models across systems, transformation requirements converting data formats, error handling mechanisms addressing integration failures, and performance considerations ensuring timely data availability (SAP, 2022). Master data management initiatives establish consistent customer, product, and pricing data across systems reducing integration complexity and improving data quality (Monk & Wagner, 2013).



Table 1: Q2C Process Stages and System Integration Points

Q2C Stage	Primary System	Key Activities	Integration Requirements	Metrics
Opportunity Mgmt.	SAP CRM	Lead qualification, forecasting	Account sync, product catalogue	Win rate, pipeline velocity
Quotation	SAP CPQ	Configuration, pricing, proposal	Product data, pricing rules	Quote volume, cycle time
Order Management	SAP CRM/ERP	Order creation, validation	Quote-to-order, availability check	Order accuracy, processing time
Fulfilment	SAP ERP/S4HANA	Delivery, provisioning, installation,	Order details, delivery instructions	On-time delivery, fulfilment costs
Billing	SAP ERP/S4HANA	Invoice generation, adjustments	Delivery confirmation, contract terms	Billing accuracy, invoice cycle time
Revenue Recognition	SAP S4HANA	Revenue posting, compliance	Contract performance, milestones	Revenue accuracy, compliance
Collection	SAP S4HANA	Payment processing, dispute resolution	Invoice data, customer accounts	DSO, collection effectiveness

III. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE METRICS

Revenue Metrics

Revenue-focused Q2C metrics measure top-line impacts including revenue growth, deal size, win rates, and revenue leakage prevention (Apptus, 2020). Average deal size increases result from improved upselling capabilities enabled by CPQ guided selling features identifying cross-sell opportunities systematically (Aberdeen Group, 2020). Organizations report deal size increases of 8-15% attributed to CPQ-driven upselling compared to manual processes missing opportunities.

Win rates improve through faster quote delivery, competitive pricing, and professional proposal presentation (Gartner, 2021). Research indicates response time significantly influences deal outcomes, with each day of quotation delay reducing win probability by 3-5%. Integrated Q2C processes delivering quotes in days rather than weeks substantially improve conversion rates. Quote-to-order conversion rates typically increase 10-20% following Q2C integration attributed to speed improvements and pricing accuracy (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

Revenue leakage, defined as revenue lost through pricing errors, unauthorized discounts, unbilled services, or contract non-compliance, represents substantial financial impact averaging 3-7% of revenue in organizations with fragmented



Q2C processes (Apptus, 2020). Integrated Q2C systems reduce leakage through systematic pricing rule enforcement, automated billing triggers based on delivery confirmations, and contract compliance monitoring ensuring all contracted services are invoiced (Bragg, 2010). Organizations report revenue leakage reductions of 50-75% following Q2C integration.

Cash Flow and Working Capital Metrics

Q2C cycle time, measured from quote generation to cash receipt, directly impacts cash flow and working capital requirements (Chopra & Meindl, 2015). Reduced cycle times accelerate cash conversion, reducing financing costs and increasing funds available for growth investments. Integrated Q2C implementations report cycle time reductions of 30-50% through process automation and elimination of manual handoffs (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

Days Sales Outstanding (DSO), measuring average days between invoice issuance and payment receipt, serves as a key cash flow indicator (Bragg, 2010). DSO reductions indicate faster collection and improved working capital efficiency. Q2C integration impacts DSO through accurate invoicing reducing disputes, electronic delivery accelerating invoice receipt, and automated dunning processes accelerating collections. Organizations report DSO improvements of 12-25% following comprehensive Q2C integration (Apptus, 2020).

Invoice accuracy, measured as percentage of invoices requiring correction or generating disputes, influences both cash flow and customer satisfaction (Chopra & Meindl, 2015). Invoice errors delay payment, increase administrative costs, and damage customer relationships. Integration between fulfillment, billing, and contract systems ensures invoices accurately reflect delivered goods, services, and applicable terms. Invoice accuracy improvements from 92-95% (typical manual processes) to 98-99% (integrated Q2C) substantially reduce dispute resolution costs and accelerate collections (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

TABLE 2: Financial Performance Metrics Framework

Metric Category	Specific Metrics	Calculation Method	Industry Benchmark	Target Improvement
Revenue Growth	Deal size, win rate, conversion rate	Average order value; won/total opportunities	Deal size: \$150K-\$500K	10-15% increase
Revenue Quality	Revenue leakage, pricing accuracy	Leakage = lost revenue/total revenue	Leakage: 3-7%	50-75% reduction
Cash Flow	Q2C cycle time, DSO	Days from quote to cash; invoice to payment	Cycle time: 45-90 days	30-50% reduction
Working Capital	Invoice accuracy, collection rate	Accurate invoices/total invoices	Accuracy: 92-95%	3-5 percentage points
Operational Cost	Quote cost, order processing cost	Labor hours × rate per transaction	Quote cost: \$200-\$500	40-60% reduction

IV. OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY METRICS

Process Cycle Times

Quote generation cycle time measures duration from customer request to delivered quotation, reflecting sales responsiveness and operational efficiency (Gartner, 2021). Manual quotation processes averaging 5-15 days create competitive disadvantages in fast-moving markets. CPQ-enabled processes reduce quotation cycle times to 1-3 days



through configuration automation, automated pricing, and template-based proposal generation (Aberdeen Group, 2020). Cycle time reductions of 60-80% are common following CPQ implementation.

Order-to-cash cycle time measures duration from order receipt to payment collection, encompassing fulfillment, billing, and collection stages (Chopra & Meindl, 2015). Integrated processes with automated order validation, real-time inventory visibility, triggered billing, and electronic invoicing substantially reduce cycle times. Organizations report order-to-cash improvements from 45-60 days to 25-35 days representing 35-45% improvements (Apptus, 2020).

Quote-to-order cycle time, measuring delay between quote delivery and order placement, indicates customer decision speed and quotation effectiveness (Gartner, 2021). While partially influenced by customer factors beyond organizational control, quotation quality, competitive pricing, and follow-up effectiveness impact conversion speed. Organizations with integrated CRM-CPQ systems enabling effective follow-up and quote modifications report 15-25% improvements in quote-to-order cycle times.

Error Rates and Rework

Configuration errors in manual quotation processes occur in 5-12% of complex quotes, requiring rework, customer communication, and delayed order processing (Aberdeen Group, 2020). CPQ systems with configuration validation reduce errors to below 1% through real-time rule checking and guided selling preventing invalid combinations (Gartner, 2021). Configuration error elimination saves substantial rework costs while improving customer experience and sales team credibility.

Order entry errors from manual re-entry of quotation data into order management systems affect 3-8% of orders in non-integrated environments (Chopra & Meindl, 2015). Integration eliminating manual re-entry reduces order errors to below 0.5%. Error reduction impacts extend beyond rework costs to include fulfillment delays, customer frustration, and potential revenue loss from order cancellations.

Billing errors generating customer disputes occur in 3-6% of invoices in organizations with disconnected fulfillment and billing systems (Bragg, 2010). Integration ensuring invoices accurately reflect deliveries, contracted terms, and pricing reduces billing disputes to 1-2%. Dispute reduction accelerates payment, reduces administrative burden, and improves customer satisfaction.

V. CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE METRICS

Responsiveness and Satisfaction

Quote response time significantly influences customer satisfaction and competitive outcomes (Gartner, 2021). Customer expectations for rapid responses have intensified, with 65% of B2B customers expecting quotes within 24-48 hours for standard offerings. Organizations achieving consistent rapid response through CPQ automation report customer satisfaction improvements of 15-25% and competitive win rate increases of 10-18% (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

Quote quality, encompassing accuracy, completeness, professionalism, and customization to customer requirements, influences purchase decisions and customer perceptions (Apptus, 2020). CPQ-generated proposals with consistent branding, comprehensive specifications, and customer-specific configurations demonstrate professionalism and attention to detail. Organizations report that proposal quality improvements contribute to deal size increases through enhanced customer confidence in vendor capabilities.

Order visibility providing customers with real-time order status, delivery tracking, and proactive communication improves satisfaction and reduces inquiry volumes (Chopra & Meindl, 2015). Integration between order management, fulfillment, and customer portals enables self-service visibility. Organizations implementing customer portals with integrated Q2C data report 30-45% reductions in "where is my order" inquiries and corresponding customer satisfaction improvements.

Net Promoter Score Impact

Net Promoter Score (NPS), measuring customer likelihood to recommend the organization, provides aggregated customer experience assessment (Reichheld, 2003). Q2C process quality significantly influences NPS through quotation experience, order fulfillment reliability, billing accuracy, and issue resolution effectiveness. Organizations implementing



integrated Q2C systems report NPS improvements of 8-15 points attributed to process improvements across quotation, delivery, and billing interactions (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

Customer effort score measuring ease of doing business with the organization reflects Q2C process friction (Dixon et al., 2010). Fragmented systems requiring customers to interact with multiple contacts, provide information repeatedly, or navigate complex processes increase effort scores negatively impacting retention and expansion. Integrated Q2C processes with unified customer views, consistent communication, and streamlined interactions reduce customer effort. Organizations report effort score improvements of 20-35% following Q2C integration (Apptus, 2020).

VI. STRATEGIC VALUE MEASUREMENT

Sales Productivity

Sales representative productivity measured as quotations generated per representative, deals closed per representative, or revenue per representative indicates resource efficiency (Payne & Frow, 2005). CPQ automation reducing quotation time from hours to minutes enables representatives to handle larger opportunity volumes. Organizations report sales productivity increases of 25-45% following CPQ implementation, with representatives generating 30-50% more quotations monthly while maintaining or improving quality (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

Sales capacity reallocation from administrative tasks to customer engagement increases selling time percentages (Gartner, 2021). Manual quotation processes consume 20-30% of sales representative time. CPQ automation enables reallocation of this time to prospect identification, customer engagement, and deal closure. Organizations calculate substantial value from increased selling time, with each percentage point of increased selling time yielding 1.5-2% revenue improvements.

Forecast Accuracy

Pipeline forecast accuracy measuring deviation between projected and actual closed deals improves through integrated CRM-CPQ systems providing structured opportunity and quotation data (Payne & Frow, 2005). Organizations with fragmented systems report forecast accuracy of 65-75%. Integration improving data quality and providing standardized sales processes increases forecast accuracy to 80-90%, substantially improving resource planning, inventory management, and financial forecasting (Aberdeen Group, 2020).

Revenue recognition forecast accuracy, particularly critical for subscription and service businesses with complex recognition patterns, improves through integration between contract management, fulfillment tracking, and financial systems (Bragg, 2010). Accurate forecasting enables better cash flow planning, reduces financial reporting risks, and improves investor confidence. Organizations report revenue recognition forecast improvements from $\pm 8-12\%$ variance to $\pm 3-5\%$ following comprehensive Q2C integration (Apptus, 2020).

Table 3: Comprehensive Value Measurement Framework

Value Dimension	Metric Examples	Measurement Approach	Data Sources	Reporting Frequency
Financial	Revenue, DSO, leakage, costs	Quantitative, financial systems	ERP, CPQ, CRM	Monthly, Quarterly
Operational	Cycle times, error rates, productivity	Quantitative, process mining	System logs, workflows	Weekly, Monthly
Customer	NPS, satisfaction, effort scores	Quantitative/Qualitative, surveys	Survey platforms, CRM	Quarterly
Strategic	Market share, competitive wins, capabilities	Quantitative/Qualitative, analysis	Multiple sources	Quarterly, Annually



Employee	Satisfaction, skill development, retention	Qualitative, surveys	HR systems, surveys	Quarterly, Annually
----------	---	----------------------	------------------------	------------------------

VII. IMPLEMENTATION MEASUREMENT APPROACH

Baseline Establishment

Effective value measurement requires comprehensive baseline establishment before Q2C implementation capturing current performance across all relevant metrics (Ward & Daniel, 2012). Baseline measurement should encompass financial metrics (current revenue, DSO, costs), operational metrics (cycle times, error rates, volumes), customer metrics (satisfaction scores, complaint volumes), and strategic metrics (market position, capabilities) (Kaplan & Norton, 2005). Baseline data collection methods include system data extraction for quantitative metrics, time-motion studies for process cycle times, customer surveys for experience metrics, and employee surveys for productivity and satisfaction assessments (Peppard et al., 2007). Multiple measurement periods establish reliable baselines accounting for seasonal variations and business cycles. Organizations establishing baselines over 6-12 months preceding implementation achieve more accurate value measurement than those using single-point measurements.

Phased Value Tracking

Q2C value realization occurs in phases reflecting staged implementation and organizational adoption (Ward & Daniel, 2012). Early phase metrics focus on process efficiency gains including cycle time reductions, error rate improvements, and productivity increases directly attributable to system capabilities (Irani et al., 2005). These operational benefits typically manifest within 3-6 months of implementation and provide early validation of project success.

Intermediate phase metrics capture financial impacts including revenue growth, cash flow improvements, and cost reductions as process improvements translate to business outcomes (Melville et al., 2004). These benefits emerge 6-18 months post-implementation as organizations optimize Q2C processes and realize cumulative impacts. Long-term strategic benefits including competitive positioning improvements, market share gains, and organizational capability development manifest 18-36 months post-implementation, requiring sustained measurement and attribution analysis (Peppard et al., 2007).

Case Study: Manufacturing Enterprise

A global manufacturing enterprise implemented integrated Q2C spanning SAP CPQ, SAP CRM, and SAP S/4HANA for industrial equipment sales (SAP, 2021). Prior state involved fragmented systems with manual quotation processes, disconnected order management, and limited visibility across Q2C stages. Implementation objectives included cycle time reduction, revenue leakage elimination, and improved customer experience.

The measurement framework established baselines across 15 key metrics including quotation cycle time (average 12 days), quote-to-order conversion (28%), average deal size (\$280K), revenue leakage (5.2% of revenue), DSO (58 days), invoice accuracy (93%), and customer NPS (42) (SAP, 2021). Measurements continued monthly post-implementation with quarterly comprehensive reviews.

Results after 18 months demonstrated substantial improvements: quotation cycle time decreased to 4 days (67% reduction), quote-to-order conversion improved to 37% (32% relative improvement), average deal size increased to \$315K (12.5% increase through improved upselling), revenue leakage reduced to 1.8% (65% reduction), DSO improved to 44 days (24% reduction), invoice accuracy increased to 98.5%, and NPS improved to 54 (SAP, 2021).

Financial impact analysis calculated annualized benefits of \$28M including \$8M from increased revenue (larger deals, higher conversion), \$12M from eliminated revenue leakage, \$5M from reduced DSO (working capital savings), and \$3M from operational efficiency (reduced quotation costs, fewer errors). Implementation costs totaled \$14M yielding first-year ROI of 100% and projected three-year ROI of 280% (SAP, 2021).

Challenges in Value Measurement

Value measurement faces several challenges requiring careful management. Attribution complexity in determining which improvements result specifically from Q2C integration versus other concurrent initiatives requires analytical rigor (Ward



& Daniel, 2012). Organizations should employ statistical controls, comparison groups, and detailed process analysis isolating Q2C impacts. Time series analysis examining metric trends before and after implementation provides strong evidence while controlling for external factors.

Data quality issues including incomplete baselines, inconsistent measurement approaches, and system limitations impeding metric calculation undermine measurement validity (Peppard et al., 2007). Organizations should invest in data governance, establish clear measurement definitions, and implement automated metric collection reducing manual effort and improving consistency. Regular measurement validation ensures data quality maintenance over time.

Intangible benefits including improved strategic capabilities, organizational learning, and market positioning resist quantitative measurement yet represent substantial value (Melville et al., 2004). Balanced approaches combining quantitative metrics with qualitative assessments through stakeholder interviews, capability maturity assessments, and competitive analysis provide comprehensive value pictures. Executive dashboards should present both quantitative and qualitative indicators avoiding over-emphasis on easily measured operational metrics while neglecting strategic value.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that comprehensive value measurement frameworks spanning financial, operational, customer, and strategic dimensions enable organizations to assess and realize business value from integrated Q2C implementations across SAP CPQ, CRM, and ECC/S4HANA systems. Evidence demonstrates integrated Q2C delivers substantial benefits including 35-50% cycle time reductions, 25-40% forecast accuracy improvements, and 5-15% revenue increases through reduced leakage.

Effective measurement requires baseline establishment, phased tracking recognizing value emergence patterns, and balanced frameworks addressing quantitative financial and operational metrics alongside qualitative strategic and customer experience assessments. Organizations implementing comprehensive measurement approaches demonstrate higher value realization through systematic identification of improvement opportunities and continuous optimization.

However, measurement faces challenges including attribution complexity, data quality issues, and intangible benefit quantification requiring analytical rigor and balanced approaches. Organizations should invest in measurement infrastructure, establish clear governance, and maintain longitudinal tracking demonstrating value realization over time.

Future research should examine value realization patterns across different industries, organization sizes, and implementation approaches identifying context-specific success factors. Comparative studies of measurement frameworks would provide insights into effective approaches. Additionally, research exploring advanced analytics and AI for real-time value monitoring and optimization would inform next-generation measurement capabilities.

The integration of Q2C processes represents fundamental advancement in revenue operations, making comprehensive value measurement essential for justifying investments and realizing potential benefits. As organizations continue digital transformation journeys, rigorous measurement frameworks will increasingly differentiate leaders achieving substantial returns from laggards struggling to demonstrate value.

REFERENCES

1. Aberdeen Group. (2020). *Quote-to-cash optimization: Best practices for revenue growth*. Aberdeen Strategy & Research.
2. Apptus. (2020). *Revenue leakage: The silent profit killer*. Apptus White Paper.
3. Bragg, S. M. (2010). *Billing and collections best practices*. John Wiley & Sons.
4. Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2015). *Supply chain management: Strategy, planning, and operation* (6th ed.). Pearson.
5. Dixon, M., Freeman, K., & Toman, N. (2010). Stop trying to delight your customers. *Harvard Business Review*, 88(7/8), 116-122.
6. Gartner. (2021). *Market guide for quote-to-cash applications*. Gartner Research.
7. Irani, Z., Sharif, A., & Love, P. E. (2005). Linking knowledge transformation to information systems evaluation. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 14(3), 213-228.
8. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2001). The strategy-focused organization. *Strategy & Leadership*, 29(3), 41-42.



9. Kaplan, R. S., & Norton, D. P. (2005). The balanced scorecard: Measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 83(7), 172-180.
10. Melville, N., Kraemer, K., & Gurbaxani, V. (2004). Information technology and organizational performance. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(2), 283-322.
11. Monk, E., & Wagner, B. (2013). *Concepts in enterprise resource planning* (4th ed.). Cengage Learning.
12. Payne, A., & Frow, P. (2005). A strategic framework for customer relationship management. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(4), 167-176.
13. Peppard, J., Ward, J., & Daniel, E. (2007). Managing the realization of business benefits from IT investments. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, 6(1), 1-11.
14. Reichheld, F. F. (2003). The one number you need to grow. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(12), 46-55.
15. SAP. (2021). *Customer success story: Manufacturing enterprise Q2C transformation*. SAP Case Study.
16. SAP. (2022). *SAP quote-to-cash solution overview*. SAP Documentation.
17. Ward, J., & Daniel, E. (2012). *Benefits management: How to increase the business value of your IT projects* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.