International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 4, Issue 3, May-June 2021||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2021.0403002

Vehicle-to-Grid Integration: Control and Market Models

Ajay Kumar Chawla

Sri Dev Suman Uttarakhand University Campus, Rishikesh, Dehradun, Uttarakhand, India

ABSTRACT: Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G) integration enables electric vehicles (EVs) to bidirectionally exchange electricity with the grid, providing services such as frequency regulation, load balancing, and peak shaving while monetizing idle battery capacity. This paper explores pre-2020 research covering both control strategies and market models in V2G systems. On the control side, studies have proposed smart charging mechanisms and queueing-theoretic models to estimate capacity for frequency regulation services, ensuring grid stability amid renewable variability arXiv. Control strategies like EV dispatch control dynamically balance grid demands with expected state-of-charge targets using real-time corrections arXiv.

Market models focus on structured interactions among participants. Lam et al. (2016) introduced a multi-layer market with a strategy-proof double auction at the macro level (utility, buyers, sellers) and micro-level aggregator incentive models arXiv. Ghosh & Aggarwal (2016) proposed a menu-based pricing scheme where charging stations offer contract-based V2G services — trading battery use, energy, and participation time — balancing operator profits, user surplus, and social welfare arXiv.

Complementing these are broader reviews analyzing participation modes (aggregated, individual, industrial), market classifications, and revenue projections—ranging from $18,000 to $42,000 per EV over 16 years, depending on region and service type IOPscience.

This paper synthesizes control mechanisms and market frameworks for V2G integration before 2020, analyzing their technical designs, economic implications, and deployment potential. The findings underscore V2G's promise as a distributed, flexible energy asset, while highlighting challenges such as battery degradation, infrastructure costs, scheduling uncertainty, and market feasibility.

KEYWORDS: Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G), Control Strategies, Smart Charging, Frequency Regulation, Market Models, Double Auction, Pricing Mechanisms, Aggregators, Load Flexibility, EV Grid Services

I. INTRODUCTION

Electric vehicles (EVs) offer a unique opportunity to support the electric grid beyond their transportation role, owing to their capacity for **bidirectional power exchange**—a concept known as Vehicle-to-Grid (V2G). By leveraging the flexible power stored in EV batteries, V2G systems enable grid services such as **frequency regulation, peak load management**, and **renewable integration**. This arrangement not only enhances grid resilience but also creates potential monetization opportunities for EV owners and aggregators.

Implementing V2G requires sophisticated control mechanisms. Early models, such as queueing frameworks, estimate an aggregator's capacity for regulation services under dynamic vehicle availability and mobility patterns arXiv. Control strategies also balance grid instructions with EV battery constraints. For example, dispatch control models dynamically adjust the V2G power output while safeguarding the expected state-of-charge of battery users arXiv. These mechanisms are vital to ensuring that both user needs and grid services are satisfied.

Equally important are market and economic frameworks that reward participants and ensure system viability. A multi-layer market model distinguishes macro-level auctions (involving utilities, buyers, sellers) and micro-level aggregator incentives, applying a double auction mechanism that promotes fair and efficient price discovery arXiv. Separately, contract-based pricing models for charging stations — offering parameters on battery use, energy quantities, and duration — strike a balance between profitability and user benefit arXiv. Broad literature reviews categorize V2G

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 4, Issue 3, May-June 2021||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2021.0403002

participation modes (aggregated, individual, industrial), and estimate vehicle-level revenues over lifetime, informing policymakers and stakeholders of potential returns IOPscience.

As the electric grid becomes more decentralized and renewable-heavy, V2G systems are poised to play a critical role. This paper delves into pre-2020 research in both control strategies and market models, assessing their contributions to the safe and economic realization of V2G integration.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Control Strategies

- **Capacity Estimation via Queueing Models**
- Lam et al. (2014) presented a queueing-based approach to estimate regulation capacity from dynamically available EVs. A smart charging mechanism aligns system performance with analytical models, enabling more predictable grid services arXiv.
- **EV Dispatch Control with SOC Guarantees**
- Liu et al. (2016) proposed a strategy where uncertain dispatch signals from grid operators are mapped to EV battery capacities, while maintaining user-prioritized state-of-charge via real-time corrections — validated in a two-area power systems simulation arXiv.

Market Models

- **Multi-Layer Auction Framework**
- Lam et al. (2016) introduced a strategy-proof double auction at the macro level (utilities, buyers, sellers), with aggregators at the micro layer earning commissions for managing EV energy. The model efficiently coordinates interactions across system levels arXiv.
- **Menu-Based Pricing for V2G Services**
- Ghosh & Aggarwal (2016) developed a contract-based pricing scheme for charging stations offering V2G. Users select contracts based on battery use, energy amount, and stay duration, balancing charging station profits, user surplus, and social welfare arXiv.
- **Participation Modes & Revenue Projections**
- Reviews categorize V2G participation into aggregated, individual, and industrial forms. Revenue simulations estimate $18,000–$42,000 per EV over 16 years in some U.S. regions. However, results vary by location and market structure, highlighting economic uncertainty IOPscience.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study synthesizes existing pre-2020 scholarly work by:

1. **Identifying Key Control Frameworks**

o Examining queueing-based capacity models and smart charging mechanisms for frequency regulation (e.g., Lam et al., 2014) arXiv.

o Assessing dispatch-control models that balance grid requests with EV state-of-charge preservation (e.g., Liu et al., 2016) arXiv.

2. **Analyzing Market Models & Economic Evaluations**

o Studying multi-layer auction dynamics where utilities and aggregators interact through strategy-proof pricing (Lam et al., 2016) arXiv.

o Evaluating menu-based pricing frameworks enabling flexible V2G contracts (Ghosh & Aggarwal, 2016) arXiv.

o Reviewing broader economic literature for revenue projections and participation mode taxonomy (e.g., aggregated vs. individual V2G) IOPscience.

3. **Comparative Synthesis**

o Comparing control strategies in terms of feasibility, system reliability, and user-oriented constraints.

o Comparing market models based on profitability, user fairness, and system efficiency.

4. **Simulative & Analytical Insights**

o Utilizing reported simulation results to ground evaluations — e.g., capacity estimates, revenue projections, SOC performance.

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 4, Issue 3, May-June 2021||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2021.0403002

IV. ADVANTAGES

- **Grid Flexibility and Resilience**: V2G provides fast-response services like frequency regulation, leveraging EV battery capacity for grid stability.
- **Efficient Coordination via Control Models**: Queueing models and smart dispatch ensure reliable, real-time control while preserving user battery needs.
- **Market Viability**: Auction schemes and contract pricing enable economic viability for both grid participants and EV owners.
- **Scalable Aggregation**: Aggregators enable scalable V2G participation, reducing individual complexities.

V. DISADVANTAGES

- **Battery Degradation Risks**: Repeated charge–discharge cycles may reduce battery life, potentially outweighing economic benefits.
- **Uncertain Revenues**: Profit estimates vary widely by region and use case; some studies show limited incentive unless prices are favorable.
- **Complex Infrastructure Needs**: Implementing control and market frameworks demands advanced communication, aggregator platforms, and regulatory support.
- **User Engagement Challenges**: Individual EV owners may be hesitant to participate due to concerns about battery availability, compensation, and convenience.

VI. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- **Capacity and Regulation Potential**: Queueing models demonstrate that aggregated EV fleets can deliver meaningful regulation capacity with smart scheduling. Real-time dispatch models maintain SOC while responding to grid signals arXiv+1.
- **Auction and Pricing Outcomes**: Multi-layer auction schemes offer fair market mechanisms; menu-based contracts show promise in balancing profit and user satisfaction, particularly when renewable generation is limited arXiv+1.
- **Economic Feasibility Varies**: Pre-2020 revenue assessments show potential savings or gains, but market heterogeneity and charging patterns impact earnings levels. Aggregated V2G models consistently outperform individual setups in economic terms IOPscience.

VII. CONCLUSION

Pre-2020 research laid crucial groundwork for V2G integration in both technical control and economic market design. Control frameworks demonstrated feasibility in balancing grid demands with EV constraints. Market models provided structured ways to monetize V2G services. However, challenges remain: battery impact, infrastructure readiness, regulatory alignment, and user participation. Collaboration across technology, economics, and policy is needed to realize V2G's full potential.

VIII. FUTURE WORK

- **Battery Longevity Modeling**: Incorporate battery wear costs into pricing and control strategies to ensure fair compensation.
- **Dynamic Market Innovation**: Develop adaptive pricing models that reflect real-time grid conditions and user preferences.
- **Aggregator Platform Development**: Create user-friendly aggregator systems that automate participation with minimal user friction.
- **Pilot Deployments & Empirical Validation**: Implement real-world trials combining control and market mechanisms to validate models.
- **Policy & Regulatory Frameworks**: Formulate supportive regulatory environments, including tariffs and authorization for V2G services.

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.com | editor@ijrai.com | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 4, Issue 3, May-June 2021||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2021.0403002

REFERENCES

1. Lam, A. Y. S., Huang, L., Silva, A., & Saad, W. (2016). *A multi-layer market for vehicle-to-grid energy trading in the smart grid*. arXiv. arXiv
2. Ghosh, A., & Aggarwal, V. (2016). *Menu-Based Pricing for Charging of Electric Vehicles with Vehicle-to-Grid Service*. arXiv. arXiv
3. Lam, A. Y. S., Leung, K.-C., & Li, V. O. K. (2014). *Capacity Estimation for Vehicle-to-Grid Frequency Regulation Services with Smart Charging Mechanism*. arXiv. arXiv
4. Liu, H., Qi, J., Wang, J., Li, P., & Wei, H. (2016). *EV Dispatch Control for Supplementary Frequency Regulation Considering the Expectation of EV Owners*. arXiv. arXiv
5. Review on participant categories and revenue projections for V2G.