



Robust AI Decision-Making under Uncertainty using Probabilistic Reinforcement Learning Models

Koppagiri Jyothsna Devi

VIT – AP, India

jyothsna.koppagiri1302@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The increasing deployment of artificial intelligence (AI) systems in dynamic, complex, and high-stakes environments has highlighted the importance of robust decision-making under uncertainty. Traditional reinforcement learning (RL) approaches often rely on deterministic policies and point-estimate predictions that fail to adequately capture the inherent stochasticity and ambiguity in real-world scenarios. This research introduces a comprehensive framework for **Probabilistic Reinforcement Learning (PRL)** that explicitly models environmental uncertainty, outcome variability, and policy confidence through probabilistic representations. By integrating Bayesian inference, stochastic value estimation, and uncertainty-aware policy optimization, the proposed approach enhances both the reliability and safety of AI decisions across diverse application domains such as robotics, autonomous driving, healthcare diagnostics, and financial decision support systems.

The study begins by examining the limitations of conventional RL models that depend on fixed reward functions, stable transition probabilities, and fully observable states—assumptions rarely satisfied in practice. To address these constraints, the research proposes a probabilistic reformulation of key RL components, including transition models, value functions, and policy distributions. Leveraging Bayesian Q-learning, Monte Carlo Dropout-based exploration, and probabilistic policy gradients, the framework captures uncertainty in model predictions and uses it as a signal to guide safer and more informed decision-making. Furthermore, the model integrates **distributional reinforcement learning**, enabling the estimation of full return distributions rather than single expected values, thereby improving risk-sensitive reasoning and robustness against outliers or rare events.

KEYWORDS: Probabilistic reinforcement learning, robust decision-making, uncertainty modeling, Bayesian RL, distributional RL, stochastic policies, risk-aware AI, autonomous systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) systems are increasingly being deployed in real-world environments characterized by ambiguity, variability, and incomplete information. Whether operating autonomous vehicles in unpredictable traffic scenarios, optimizing robotic movements under sensor noise, or evaluating financial decisions in volatile markets, AI agents are expected to make reliable and safe decisions despite significant uncertainties. Traditional AI decision-making pipelines, particularly those based on deterministic reinforcement learning (RL), assume stable dynamics, consistent reward structures, and complete observability—assumptions that rarely hold in practical applications. As a result, there exists a growing need for models capable of accounting for uncertainty in both perception and decision-making processes. This necessity has catalyzed the evolution of **Probabilistic Reinforcement Learning (PRL)**, a paradigm that integrates probabilistic reasoning, uncertainty quantification, and risk-sensitive optimization into the RL framework.

Uncertainty arises in various forms, such as **epistemic uncertainty** caused by limited knowledge or insufficient data, **aleatoric uncertainty** inherent in stochastic environments, and **model uncertainty** stemming from inaccurate or incomplete representations of environment dynamics. Conventional RL approaches typically handle uncertainty implicitly through exploration strategies, such as ϵ -greedy or entropy-based methods, without explicitly modeling confidence or variability in predictions. However, these methods often fail to distinguish between safe exploration and high-risk actions, thereby compromising performance in highly sensitive or safety-critical domains. Probabilistic RL,



on the other hand, acknowledges uncertainty as a first-class citizen and incorporates probabilistic models to represent transition dynamics, value functions, and policy distributions.

The core challenge addressed in this research is to develop a robust AI decision-making framework capable of performing reliably under a wide range of uncertainties. A robust agent must not only achieve high expected returns but also maintain stability across uncertain states, respond gracefully to unexpected events, and avoid catastrophic failures. The proposed solution involves integrating Bayesian inference for model estimation, distributional reinforcement learning for representing return distributions, and probabilistic policy optimization for safe and informed decision-making. By quantifying confidence regions around predictions, the agent can adapt its decisions based on the risk level associated with uncertain outcomes.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature surrounding robust decision-making under uncertainty spans multiple fields, including reinforcement learning, Bayesian inference, stochastic optimization, robotics, control theory, and risk-sensitive decision-making. This review synthesizes major developments related to probabilistic reinforcement learning (PRL), uncertainty quantification, robust RL, and safe exploration.

Early reinforcement learning approaches, such as Q-learning and SARSA, primarily focused on maximizing expected cumulative rewards under the assumption of fixed transition probabilities and deterministic policies. These models provided foundational insights but lacked mechanisms to incorporate uncertainty explicitly. As RL applications expanded to complex environments, researchers recognized the limitations of deterministic approaches, prompting the emergence of probabilistic reasoning within RL.

A major milestone in uncertainty-aware RL was the introduction of **Bayesian Reinforcement Learning (BRL)**. BRL incorporates Bayesian inference to model uncertainty over environment dynamics and value estimates. One of the earliest and most influential contributions in this domain was the **Bayesian Q-learning** framework, which used posterior distributions to update Q-values instead of point estimates. This allowed agents to quantify epistemic uncertainty and make decisions accordingly. Dearden et al. (1998) introduced the concept of value of information in RL, demonstrating how uncertainty could guide more effective exploration strategies.

In recent years, probabilistic reinforcement learning approaches have become central to research efforts aimed at achieving robustness and safety. Methods incorporating **Thompson sampling**, **Bayesian policy gradients**, and **probabilistic actor-critic architectures** have demonstrated improved exploration efficiency and generalization in uncertain environments. The Soft Actor-Critic (SAC) algorithm introduced entropy maximization, which indirectly promotes stochastic policies, contributing to exploration robustness—an idea later extended using explicit uncertainty estimates.

Applications of probabilistic RL span a wide spectrum. In robotics, uncertainty-aware models have been used for motion planning, manipulation, and autonomous navigation under noisy sensor inputs. In healthcare, probabilistic RL assists in treatment planning where outcome uncertainty is inherently high. In finance, probabilistic models help manage volatility and perform risk-aware investment decisions. These applications highlight the practical importance of uncertainty modeling in achieving robust decision-making.

Despite these advancements, several gaps remain in the literature. Many existing PRL methods focus on either uncertainty modeling or robust optimization, with limited frameworks integrating both comprehensively. Moreover, uncertainty often arises from multiple sources—such as partial observability, evolving dynamics, and adversarial noise—yet many models address only one type of uncertainty at a time. Another challenge lies in scalability, as frequent Bayesian updates or distributional computations can be computationally demanding in high-dimensional environments.

This research aims to bridge these gaps through an integrated framework that combines Bayesian inference, distributional RL, and probabilistic policy optimization. The proposed approach enhances robustness by capturing a comprehensive range of uncertainties and leveraging them to guide safer, more informed decision-making.



III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a multi-stage methodological framework to develop, implement, and evaluate a **Probabilistic Reinforcement Learning (PRL)** model for robust AI decision-making under uncertainty. The methodology is structured into five major components: **Problem Formulation**, **Model Architecture Design**, **Uncertainty Quantification Techniques**, **Training Procedure**, and **Evaluation Protocols**.

1. Problem Formulation

The objective is to build an RL agent capable of making robust decisions in environments characterized by both epistemic and aleatoric uncertainty.

1.1 Environment Representation

The environment is modeled as a **Probabilistic Markov Decision Process (PMDP)** defined by:

- State space: S
- Action space: A
- Probabilistic Transition Function: $P(s' | s, a, \theta)$
- Reward distribution: $R(s, a) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- Uncertainty parameter: θ representing unknown dynamics

Both transition probabilities and rewards are treated as distributions instead of deterministic values.

1.2 Objective Function

The agent optimizes a **risk-sensitive objective**:

$$\pi^* = \arg \max_{\pi} \mathbb{E}_{\tau \sim P, \pi}[G(\tau)] - \lambda \cdot \text{Uncertainty}(\tau)$$

where λ controls risk aversion.

2. Model Architecture Design

The proposed architecture integrates three major modules:

2.1 Bayesian Q-Network (BQN)

A Q-network with probabilistic weights to estimate:

$$Q(s, a) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_Q, \sigma_Q^2)$$

Monte-Carlo sampling is used to evaluate predictive uncertainty.

2.2 Distributional Value Network (DVN)

A distributional RL head is added to capture full return distributions using quantile regression:

$$Z(s, a) = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_K\}$$

This enables risk-sensitive decision-making.

2.3 Probabilistic Policy Gradient Module

A stochastic policy:

$$\pi(a | s) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_\pi(s), \sigma_\pi(s)^2)$$

allows uncertainty-aware exploration.

3. Uncertainty Quantification Techniques

Three complementary uncertainty estimation mechanisms are integrated:

3.1 Bayesian Inference

Used to estimate epistemic uncertainty in model parameters.

3.2 Monte Carlo Dropout

Dropout is applied during inference to sample action distributions.

3.3 Ensemble Learning

Multiple sub-networks are used to capture model disagreement, improving robustness.



4. Training Procedure

4.1 Algorithm: Robust Uncertainty-Aware Optimization (RUO)

Steps involved:

1. Initialize Bayesian Q-network and distributional RL model
2. For each timestep:
 - o Sample action based on probabilistic policy
 - o Observe reward distribution and transitions
 - o Update Bayesian posterior
 - o Compute quantile-regression loss
 - o Update policy using uncertainty-weighted gradients

4.2 Loss Functions

Combined loss:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{BQN} + \alpha \cdot \mathcal{L}_{DistRL} + \beta \cdot \mathcal{L}_{UncertaintyPenalty}$$

4.3 Implementation Details

- Framework: PyTorch
- Optimizer: Adam
- Training episodes: 10,000
- Batch size: 64
- Learning rate: 10^{-4}

5. Evaluation Protocols

Three benchmark environments were used:

5.1 Stochastic Grid-World

High randomness in transitions and rewards.

5.2 Partially Observable CartPole (PO-CartPole)

Simulates sensor uncertainty.

5.3 Robotic Navigation Environment

Models real-world sensor noise and dynamic obstacles.

Metrics Evaluated

- Average return
- Policy stability
- Failure rate
- Uncertainty calibration error
- Robustness under perturbations

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results demonstrate that the proposed PRL model significantly improves robustness, safety, and performance stability compared to traditional RL baselines. Below tables show the quantitative results.

TABLE 1: Performance Comparison Across Environments

Metric	Traditional RL	Distributional RL	Proposed Probabilistic RL (Our Model)
Avg. Return	67.3	78.5	92.1
Policy Stability (%)	72%	80%	94%
Failure Rate (%)	18%	12%	4%
Robustness to Noise (%)	65%	74%	91%
Uncertainty Calibration Error	0.34	0.21	0.09



TABLE 2: Robustness Under Environmental Uncertainty

Environment	Type of Uncertainty	Traditional RL Success (%)	Proposed PRL Success (%)
Stochastic Grid-World	High transition randomness	61%	89%
PO-CartPole	Sensor occlusion	58%	86%
Robotic Navigation	Dynamic obstacles + noise	52%	84%

TABLE 3: Ablation Study of Uncertainty Components

Model Variant	Avg. Return	Failure Rate	Comment
Without Bayesian Module	85.4	10%	Reduced epistemic uncertainty handling
Without Distributional RL	82.1	12%	Poor tail-risk modeling
Without Ensembles	79.3	15%	Lower robustness to noise
Full Model (All Components)	92.1	4%	Best performance across all metrics

V. RESULTS DISCUSSION

1. Performance Improvement

The probabilistic RL model significantly outperforms traditional RL methods. The **avg. return of 92.1** indicates higher reward efficiency.

2. Policy Stability

Policy stability improved from **72% → 94%**, indicating smoother and more consistent actions, especially in noisy environments.

3. Failure Rate Reduction

The failure rate drops drastically to **4%**, demonstrating reliable safety behavior. This is crucial for applications like autonomous driving or robotics.

4. Robustness to Uncertainty

The model achieves **91% robustness**, attributed to:

- Bayesian uncertainty handling
- Distributional value estimation
- Ensemble disagreement-based corrections

5. Impact of Individual Components (Ablation Study)

- Removing Bayesian inference increases failure rate due to poor epistemic uncertainty modeling.
- Removing distributional RL worsens risk handling.
- Removing ensembles reduces robustness, showing their contribution to stability.

6. Real-World Implications

These improvements translate into:

- Safer autonomous navigation
- More reliable robotic control
- Stable financial decision-making
- Improved healthcare treatment recommendations

VI. CONCLUSION

The goal of this research was to develop a comprehensive framework for robust AI decision-making in environments characterized by high uncertainty, incomplete information, and dynamic variations. Through the integration of probabilistic modeling techniques, Bayesian inference, distributional reinforcement learning, and uncertainty-aware policy optimization, the proposed Probabilistic Reinforcement Learning (PRL) model provides a significant advancement over traditional RL paradigms that rely solely on deterministic assumptions. The findings from extensive



experiments across stochastic, partially observable, and real-world inspired environments demonstrate that the proposed approach achieves superior performance in terms of reward efficiency, policy stability, uncertainty calibration, and robustness to environmental perturbations.

A key achievement of the proposed framework is its ability to explicitly quantify both epistemic and aleatoric uncertainties, enabling the agent to evaluate the reliability of its predictions and adapt its decision-making based on confidence levels. This capability not only improves safety and resilience but also promotes more informed exploration, especially in high-risk scenarios. The effectiveness of the Robust Uncertainty-Aware Optimization (RUO) algorithm highlights the importance of leveraging uncertainty thresholds to balance exploration and exploitation without exposing the agent to potentially catastrophic consequences. The reduction in failure rate and improvement in robustness metrics clearly show that uncertainty-aware strategies outperform classical RL approaches in safety-critical applications.

The ablation studies further validate the contribution of each probabilistic component—Bayesian networks, distributional value modeling, and ensemble disagreement—to the overall robustness and performance gains. By integrating these complementary mechanisms, the PRL framework becomes capable of managing a wide spectrum of uncertainties and adapting effectively to evolving or noisy environments. Such adaptability is essential for real-world systems such as autonomous vehicles, medical decision-support tools, industrial robotics, and financial forecasting models, where unpredictable events and incomplete observations are the norm rather than the exception.

Additionally, the improved interpretability offered by probabilistic outputs enhances the transparency of AI-driven decisions. Stakeholders gain insight not only into the agent's chosen actions but also into how confident the system is in its predictions. This level of interpretability plays a pivotal role in fostering trust in AI systems, especially in regulated industries where accountability, safety, and human oversight are critical.

While the study demonstrates strong evidence of the effectiveness of probabilistic reinforcement learning, it also opens several avenues for future research. Scaling Bayesian methods to extremely high-dimensional environments remains computationally challenging, and advancing efficient approximation techniques will be essential. Similarly, extending the proposed framework to cooperative and competitive multi-agent systems, or integrating it with model-based RL architectures, presents promising possibilities for enhancing multi-agent coordination under uncertainty.

In conclusion, this research establishes probabilistic reinforcement learning as a robust, reliable, and interpretable paradigm for AI decision-making under uncertainty. The proposed framework not only addresses the limitations of deterministic RL approaches but also lays a foundational pathway for building next-generation AI systems capable of safe, confident, and resilient decision-making in uncertain and dynamic real-world environments.

REFERENCES

1. Kodela, V. INTELLIGENT SYSTEMS AND APPLICATIONS IN ENGINEERING.
2. Kodela, V. (2016). Improving load balancing mechanisms of software defined networks using open flow. California State University, Long Beach.
3. Kodela, V. (2018). A Comparative Study Of Zero Trust Security Implementations Across Multi-Cloud Environments: Aws And Azure. *Int. J. Commun. Networks Inf. Secur.*
4. Nandhan, T. N. G., Sajjan, M., Keshamma, E., Raghuramulu, Y., & Naidu, R. (2005). Evaluation of Chinese made moisture meters.
5. Gupta, P. K., Mishra, S. S., Nawaz, M. H., Choudhary, S., Saxena, A., Roy, R., & Keshamma, E. (2020). Value Addition on Trend of Pneumonia Disease in India-The Current Update.
6. Hiremath, L., Sruti, O., Aishwarya, B. M., Kala, N. G., & Keshamma, E. (2021). Electrospun nanofibers: Characteristic agents and their applications. In *Nanofibers-Synthesis, Properties and Applications*. IntechOpen.
7. Manikandan, G., & Srinivasan, S. (2012). Traffic control by bluetooth enabled mobile phone. *International Journal of Computer and Communication Engineering*, 1(1), 66.
8. Manikandan, G., and G. Bhuvaneswari. "Fuzzy-GSO Algorithm for Mining of Irregularly Shaped Spatial Clusters." *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities* 6, no. 6 (2016): 1431-1452.
9. Manikandan, G., & Srinivasan, S. A Novel Approach for effectively mining for spatially co-located moving objects from the spatial data base. *International Journal on "CiiT International Journal of Data Mining and Knowledge Engineering*, 816-821.



10. Nagar, H., & Menaria, A. K. Compositions of the Generalized Operator ($G \rho, \eta, \gamma, \omega; a \Psi(x)$) and their Application.
11. Nagar, H., & Menaria, A. K. On Generalized Function $G \rho, \eta, \gamma$ [a, z] And It's Fractional Calculus.
12. Singh, R., & Menaria, A. K. (2014). Initial-Boundary Value Problems of Fokas' Transform Method. Journal of Ramanujan Society of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 3(01), 31-36.
13. Sumanth, K., Subramanya, S., Gupta, P. K., Chayapathy, V., Keshamma, E., Ahmed, F. K., & Murugan, K. (2022). Antifungal and mycotoxin inhibitory activity of micro/nanoemulsions. In Bio-Based Nanoemulsions for Agri-Food Applications (pp. 123-135). Elsevier.
14. Gupta, P. K., Lokur, A. V., Kallapur, S. S., Sheriff, R. S., Reddy, A. M., Chayapathy, V., ... & Keshamma, E. (2022). Machine Interaction-Based Computational Tools in Cancer Imaging. Human-Machine Interaction and IoT Applications for a Smarter World, 167-186.
15. Rajoriaa, N. V., & Menariab, A. K. (2022). Fractional Differential Conditions with the Variable-Request by Adams-Bashforth Moulton Technique. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education Vol, 13(02), 361-367.
16. Khemraj, S., Thepa, P. C. A., Patnaik, S., Chi, H., & Wu, W. Y. (2022). Mindfulness meditation and life satisfaction effective on job performance. NeuroQuantology, 20(1), 830-841.
17. Sutthisanmethi, P., Wetprasit, S., & Thepa, P. C. A. (2022). The promotion of well-being for the elderly based on the 5 Āyussadhamma in the Dusit District, Bangkok, Thailand: A case study of Wat Sawaswareesimaram community. International Journal of Health Sciences, 6(3), 1391-1408.
18. Thepa, P. C. A. (2022). Buddhadhamma of peace. International Journal of Early Childhood, 14(3).
19. Phattongma, P. W., Trung, N. T., Phrasutthisanmethi, S. K., Thepa, P. C. A., & Chi, H. (2022). Phenomenology in education research: Leadership ideological. Webology, 19(2).
20. Khemraj, S., Thepa, P., Chi, A., Wu, W., & Samanta, S. (2022). Sustainable wellbeing quality of Buddhist meditation centre management during coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19) in Thailand using the quality function deployment (QFD), and KANO. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(4), 845-858.
21. Thepa, D. P. P. C. A., Sutthirat, N., & Nongluk (2022). Buddhist philosophical approach on the leadership ethics in management. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(2), 1289-1297.
22. Rajeshwari: Manasa R, K Karibasappa, Rajeshwari J, Autonomous Path Finder and Object Detection Using an Intelligent Edge Detection Approach, International Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Aug 2022, Scopus indexed, ISSN: 2348-8379, Volume 9 Issue 8, 1-7, August 2022. <https://doi.org/10.14445/23488379/IJEEE-V9I8P101>
23. Rajeshwari.J.K. Karibasappa ,M.T. Gopalkrishna, "Three Phase Security System for Vehicles using Face Recognition on Distributed Systems", Third International conference on informational system design and intelligent applications, Volume 3 , pp.563-571, 8-9 January, Springer India 2016. Index: Springer
24. Sunitha.S, Rajeshwari.J, Designing and Development of a New Consumption Model from Big Data to form Data-as-a- Product (DaaP), International Conference on Innovative Mechanisms for Industry Applications (ICIMIA 2017), 978- 1-5090-5960-7/17/\$31.00 ©2017 IEEE.
25. M. Suresh Kumar, J. Rajeshwari & N. Rajasekhar," Exploration on Content-Based Image Retrieval Methods", International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Social Networking, ISBN 978-981-16-5640-8, Springer, Singapore Jan (2022).
26. Vadisetty, R., Polamarasetti, A., Guntupalli, R., Raghunath, V., Jyothi, V. K., & Kudithipudi, K. (2022). AI-Driven Cybersecurity: Enhancing Cloud Security with Machine Learning and AI Agents. Sateesh kumar and Raghunath, Vedaprada and Jyothi, Vinaya Kumar and Kudithipudi, Karthik, AI-Driven Cybersecurity: Enhancing Cloud Security with Machine Learning and AI Agents (February 07, 2022).
27. Polamarasetti, A., Vadisetty, R., Vangala, S. R., Chinta, P. C. R., Routhu, K., Velaga, V., ... & Boppana, S. B. (2022). Evaluating Machine Learning Models Efficiency with Performance Metrics for Customer Churn Forecast in Finance Markets. International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies, 3(1), 46-55.
28. Polamarasetti, A., Vadisetty, R., Vangala, S. R., Bodepudi, V., Maka, S. R., Sadaram, G., ... & Karaka, L. M. (2022). Enhancing Cybersecurity in Industrial Through AI-Based Traffic Monitoring IoT Networks and Classification. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning, 3(3), 73-81.
29. Vadisetty, R., Polamarasetti, A., Guntupalli, R., Rongali, S. K., Raghunath, V., Jyothi, V. K., & Kudithipudi, K. (2021). Legal and Ethical Considerations for Hosting GenAI on the Cloud. International Journal of AI, BigData, Computational and Management Studies, 2(2), 28-34.
30. Vadisetty, R., Polamarasetti, A., Guntupalli, R., Raghunath, V., Jyothi, V. K., & Kudithipudi, K. (2021). Privacy-Preserving Gen AI in Multi-Tenant Cloud Environments. Sateesh kumar and Raghunath, Vedaprada and Jyothi,



Vinaya Kumar and Kudithipudi, Karthik, Privacy-Preserving Gen AI in Multi-Tenant Cloud Environments (January 20, 2021).

31. Vadisetty, R., Polamarasetti, A., Guntupalli, R., Rongali, S. K., Raghunath, V., Jyothi, V. K., & Kudithipudi, K. (2020). Generative AI for Cloud Infrastructure Automation. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Data Science, and Machine Learning, 1(3), 15-20.
32. Gandhi Vaibhav, C., & Pandya, N. Feature Level Text Categorization For Opinion Mining. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) Vol, 2, 2278-0181.
33. Gandhi, V. C., Prajapati, J. A., & Darji, P. A. (2012). Cloud computing with data warehousing. International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science (IJETTCS), 1(3), 72-74.
34. Gandhi, V. C. (2012). Review on Comparison between Text Classification Algorithms/Vaibhav C. Gandhi, Jignesh A. Prajapati. International Journal of Emerging Trends & Technology in Computer Science (IJETTCS), 1(3).
35. Patel, D., Gandhi, V., & Patel, V. (2014). Image registration using log pola
36. Patel, D., & Gandhi, V. Image Registration Using Log Polar Transform.
37. Desai, H. M., & Gandhi, V. (2014). A survey: background subtraction techniques. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 5(12), 1365.
38. Maisuriya, C. S., & Gandhi, V. (2015). An Integrated Approach to Forecast the Future Requests of User by Weblog Mining. International Journal of Computer Applications, 121(5).
39. Maisuriya, C. S., & Gandhi, V. (2015). An Integrated Approach to Forecast the Future Requests of User by Weblog Mining. International Journal of Computer Applications, 121(5).
40. esai, H. M., Gandhi, V., & Desai, M. (2015). Real-time Moving Object Detection using SURF. IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSR-JCE), 2278-0661.
41. Gandhi Vaibhav, C., & Pandya, N. Feature Level Text Categorization For Opinion Mining. International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT) Vol, 2, 2278-0181.
42. Singh, A. K., Gandhi, V. C., Subramanyam, M. M., Kumar, S., Aggarwal, S., & Tiwari, S. (2021, April). A Vigorous Chaotic Function Based Image Authentication Structure. In Journal of Physics: Conference Series (Vol. 1854, No. 1, p. 012039). IOP Publishing.
43. Gandhi, V. C., & Gandhi, P. P. (2022, April). A survey-insights of ML and DL in health domain. In 2022 International Conference on Sustainable Computing and Data Communication Systems (ICSCDS) (pp. 239-246). IEEE.
44. Dhinakaran, M., Priya, P. K., Alanya-Beltran, J., Gandhi, V., Jaiswal, S., & Singh, D. P. (2022, December). An Innovative Internet of Things (IoT) Computing-Based Health Monitoring System with the Aid of Machine Learning Approach. In 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I) (pp. 292-297). IEEE.
45. Dhinakaran, M., Priya, P. K., Alanya-Beltran, J., Gandhi, V., Jaiswal, S., & Singh, D. P. (2022, December). An Innovative Internet of Things (IoT) Computing-Based Health Monitoring System with the Aid of Machine Learning Approach. In 2022 5th International Conference on Contemporary Computing and Informatics (IC3I) (pp. 292-297). IEEE.
46. Sharma, S., Sanyal, S. K., Sushmita, K., Chauhan, M., Sharma, A., Anirudhan, G., ... & Kateriya, S. (2021). Modulation of phototropin signalosome with artificial illumination holds great potential in the development of climate-smart crops. Current Genomics, 22(3), 181-213.
47. Patchamatla, P. S. (2022). Performance Optimization Techniques for Docker-based Workloads.
48. Patchamatla, P. S. (2020). Comparison of virtualization models in OpenStack. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 3(03).
49. Patchamatla, P. S., & Owolabi, I. O. (2020). Integrating serverless computing and kubernetes in OpenStack for dynamic AI workflow optimization. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 1, 12.
50. Patchamatla, P. S. S. (2019). Comparison of Docker Containers and Virtual Machines in Cloud Environments. Available at SSRN 5180111.
51. Patchamatla, P. S. S. (2021). Implementing Scalable CI/CD Pipelines for Machine Learning on Kubernetes. International Journal of Multidisciplinary and Scientific Emerging Research, 9(03), 10-15662.
52. Khemraj, S., Chi, H., Wu, W. Y., & Thepa, P. C. A. (2022). Foreign investment strategies. Performance and Risk Management in Emerging Economy, resmilitaris, 12(6), 2611–2622.
53. Anuj Arora, “Analyzing Best Practices and Strategies for Encrypting Data at Rest (Stored) and Data in Transit (Transmitted) in Cloud Environments”, International Journal of Research in Electronics and Computer Engineering, Vol. 6, Issue 4 (October–December 2018).