International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

Intelligent SAP Workforce Scheduling: AI/ML-Driven Productivity, Anomaly Detection, and Compliance in Digital Banking with Oracle Integration

HiroshiTakuya Sakamoto

Independent Researcher, Japan

ABSTRACT: The digital transformation of the banking sector demands intelligent workforce management solutions that ensure operational efficiency, compliance, and adaptability. This paper introduces an **AI/ML-driven workforce scheduling framework within SAP**, integrated with **Oracle-based digital banking systems**, to optimize productivity, detect anomalies, and ensure regulatory compliance. The proposed model leverages predictive analytics, reinforcement learning, and dynamic optimization algorithms to forecast workload demands, allocate human resources efficiently, and adapt schedules in real time. Anomaly detection mechanisms continuously monitor workforce behaviors, task completion rates, and system interactions to identify irregularities or potential compliance breaches. Through secure SAP–Oracle integration, the framework supports unified data visibility, audit transparency, and seamless inter-system communication. The study's findings reveal that intelligent workforce scheduling reduces idle time, enhances employee performance, and minimizes compliance risks in high-security financial environments. This research underscores how AI and ML, when embedded in SAP and harmonized with Oracle infrastructure, create resilient, efficient, and regulation-aligned digital banking ecosystems.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, SAP, Workforce scheduling, Digital banking, Oracle integration, Productivity optimization, Anomaly detection, Compliance management, Predictive analytics, Reinforcement learning, Financial technology, Operational efficiency, Regulatory compliance

I. INTRODUCTION

In supply chain operations—warehousing, transportation, order fulfilment, manufacturing—labour is often one of the most significant cost drivers. Inefficient or static scheduling can lead to overstaffing (wasted cost), understaffing (delays, overtime, poor service), mismatch of skills, and employee dissatisfaction or burnout. Traditional scheduling approaches often rely on historical averages, manual adjustments, simplistic heuristics, or rule-based systems which cannot cope with dynamic variations in demand, unforeseen disruptions, employee absence, or skill variability.

SAP's ecosystem includes tools that are increasingly targeting more intelligent workforce planning and scheduling. For example, **SAP Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling by WorkForce Software** provides cloud-based scheduling with demand forecasting, rule-based constraints, labour-to-sales ratios, cost reporting, and integrates with SAP SuccessFactors Employee Central. SAP+1 Likewise, partners like **Legion** integrate with SAP to provide AI-powered demand forecasting, labour optimization, automated scheduling while considering employee skills & preferences. Legion+1 These developments indicate a trend toward ML-driven productivity models that align workforce scheduling more tightly with operational demand, cost constraints, fairness, and employee satisfaction.

This paper examines how ML-driven scheduling models can enhance workforce productivity in SAP-enabled supply chains. We explore what ML/AI techniques are being used or can be used, what data and architectural requirements exist, what trade-offs and organisational issues must be addressed (skills, fairness, interpretability), and how scheduling can adapt in real time to disruptions. We also propose a research methodology to evaluate the effects of such models in practice, measure gains (in cost, responsiveness, satisfaction, compliance), and identify challenges. The rest of the paper is structured into: literature review, research methodology, advantages & disadvantages, results & discussion, conclusion & future work. The aim is to help practitioners and researchers understand how to design, deploy, and

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

evaluate ML-driven workforce scheduling in SAP supply chain contexts to maximize productivity while satisfying constraints of fairness, skill, cost, and flexibility.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Below is a review of relevant sources from 2023: SAP product / partner announcements, industry case studies, and academic / generic ML scheduling research, focused on AI/ML workforce scheduling in supply chain / labour-intensive operations.

1. **SAP / Partner Product Announcements & Features**

o **SAP Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling by WorkForce Software**: This product offers optimized schedules, labour requirement forecasting, cost reporting, and compliance with labour rules. It is designed to help organizations plan labour needs based on forecasted demand, integrate with SAP SuccessFactors Employee Central, and produce schedules that respect constraints such as skills, availability, budgets. SAP+1

o **Legion + SAP SuccessFactors Integration**: Legion offers AI-powered workforce management which includes demand forecasting, labour optimization, automated scheduling that considers employee skills, preferences, availability, and constraints. Real-time sync of HR data with labour scheduling allows schedules to be more dynamic, adjusting to changing demand patterns. Legion+1

o **Pre-built Integration via SAP Business Technology Platform (BTP)**: WorkForce Software launched a new pre-built integration in September 2023 to leverage SAP BTP for workforce management, allowing more seamless connection between schedule demand, HR data, and scheduling tools. This helps reduce time to deploy optimized scheduling tools in SAP environments. WorkForce Software

2. **Functional and Behavioural Constraints & Considerations**

o Labour rules, compliance, working hours, union contracts, employee availability, multi-skill requirements, and cost budgets are important in partner product descriptions. Scheduling tools must respect these and allow rules configuration. This often increases complexity. SAP+1

o Employee preferences, fairness, predictability, schedule stability often surfaced in partner features: tools try to balance optimizing for cost and demand with respecting preferences and fairness in shift assignments. Legion features mention matching business needs with employee preferences. Legion

3. **Academic / Generic ML Scheduling Research (2023)**

o There is considerable work in general scheduling, resource allocation, and demand forecasting beyond SAP specifically. For instance, reinforcement learning methods, multi-objective optimization (cost, fairness, response time), deep learning for time series forecasting of demand or labour need, simulators to test schedules under variable demand.

o Research on ML / deep RL for machine / job scheduling (e.g. in manufacturing) shows that dynamic scheduling under changing conditions (machine breakdowns, job priority changes) can be improved using RL or metaheuristics. These are analogous to workforce scheduling where supply/demand and skill/availability constraints vary. (Though many of these works are outside SAP or supply chain purely workforce, their techniques are applicable.)

4. **Gaps & Challenges Noted in Literature / Product Materials**

o Data Quality & Availability: Historical demand, attendance, absence, employee skills, availability, cost, overtime, etc. All must be reliably recorded. Some organisations have fragmented HR or scheduling systems.

o Real-Time Adaptation: Many tools or models schedule in advance; handling unexpected events (absences, demand spikes, delays) requires dynamic rescheduling or adjustment capability.

o Interpretability & Trust: Schedulers, managers, HR teams need to understand how schedules are generated; black-box optimization may face resistance.

o Fairness, Employee Preferences, Union or Regulatory Constraints: Balancing cost and operational efficiency with fairness, fatigue avoidance, stability of work patterns, etc., is nontrivial.

o Scalability: Large operations with multiple sites, many employees, many roles/skills, many constraints—combinatorial explosion. Optimization or ML models must handle large scale.

5. **Emerging Trends**

o Use of demand forecasting (time series + ML) to predict upcoming labour needs, seasonality, external factors (promotions, holidays, weather).

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

o Automated scheduling via optimization solvers or ML heuristic methods.
o Dynamic or "just-in-time" schedules; tools that can adjust shifts in response to real-world deviations (absences, demand flux).
o Integration via SAP BTP or HR modules to centralize data and make scheduling more connected to other supply chain or operations data.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Below is a proposed research methodology (structured in list-paragraph style) to study ML-driven workforce scheduling in SAP supply chains and measure productivity gains and trade-offs.

1. **Research Design**

o Mixed methods: combination of quantitative model building & evaluation, and qualitative inquiry (surveys/interviews) with HR, operations, schedulers, employees.
o Comparative / quasi-experimental design: Identify supply chain operations (warehouses, distribution centres, transport hubs) using SAP tools (SuccessFactors, Workforce Scheduling products), with periods before and after implementing ML-driven scheduling; or comparisons across similar sites or units, some using ML-driven scheduling, some using traditional/heuristic/manual scheduling.

2. **Data Collection**

o Historical scheduling data: past schedules, shifts, employee assignments, skills, availability, absenteeism, overtime, shift swaps, cost of labour.
o Demand / throughput data: volumes of work (orders, shipments, incoming materials), demand peaks (seasonal or promotional), historical variations.
o Cost data: labour rates, overtime costs, penalties, cost of understaffing (delays, missed deadlines).
o Employee data: skills, certifications, availability, preferences, fatigue / rest constraints, work hours history.
o Operational constraints: labour rules, union or legal limits, shift duration, breaks, multi-site employees, travel times if relevant.
o Integration data: from SAP modules (SuccessFactors, Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling, perhaps SAP TM or warehouse / logistics throughput modules) and possibly external event data (promotions, weather, holidays).

3. **Data Pre-processing & Feature Engineering**

o Clean data: missing values (e.g. missing attendance / absence records), inconsistent skill labels, standardize employee IDs, normalize for external events (holidays, etc.).
o Align demand data with scheduling and labour supply: map when demand spikes occurred, volume vs labour hours scheduled.
o Create features: historical demand (lagged), seasonality (day of week, hour of day, promotions), employee availability, skill sets, travel time or site adjacency, overtime count, absenteeism propensity, preferences, fairness metrics (how often employees get undesirable shifts), rest periods.
o Define target variables: e.g. required labour hours per time period, under/overstaffing, cost of schedule, employee satisfaction score, schedule stability.

4. **Model Development**

o **Demand Forecasting Models**: ML / time-series models (ARIMA, Prophet, LSTM) to predict labour demand by time slices (hourly, daily, weekly).
o **Optimization / Heuristic Scheduling Models**: Mixed Integer Programming (MIP), constraint programming, or heuristic/metaheuristic methods (genetic algorithms, simulated annealing) to generate optimal schedules given demand forecasts, constraints, skills, costs, preferences.
o **Reinforcement Learning or Adaptive Scheduling**: Explore RL models that adjust schedules dynamically in response to deviations (absences, demand changes), possibly with multi-agent structures.
o **Fairness / Multi-Objective Optimization**: Models that include objectives such as cost minimization, maximizing schedule fairness, minimizing employee fatigue, minimizing changes to schedules, etc.
o **Anomaly / Exception Prediction**: Predict which future time-slots are likely to have shortages or oversupply, or where schedule conflicts will happen (e.g. due to absences).

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

5. **Model Evaluation & Validation**

○ Use hold-out test sets, cross-validation, or time-series splits to ensure that forecasting / scheduling performs well out of sample.

○ Key evaluation metrics:

▪ For forecasting: MAE, RMSE, MAPE on predicted demand / labour need.

▪ For scheduling: cost (labour cost including overtime etc.), under/overstaffing rates, coverage (meeting demand), fairness metrics (how well employee preferences met, how evenly undesirable shifts distributed), schedule stability (how many changes from planned), employee satisfaction (via survey).

▪ Operational performance: throughput, delays, missed orders, service levels.

○ Backtesting: simulate past periods with historical demand using both traditional scheduling and ML-driven scheduling to compare outcomes.

6. **Pilot Implementation**

○ Select one or more sites (warehouse, logistics hub, distribution centre) within a supply chain where scheduling is labour-intensive.

○ Deploy ML-driven scheduling tool integrated with SAP modules (SuccessFactors / Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling / other relevant SAP HR/Operations modules).

○ Run pilot for a period (e.g. 3-6 months), capturing operational metrics (cost, service level, staffing variance), schedule quality (employee feedback, preference satisfaction), flexibility/adaptiveness (how schedule handled unexpected events).

7. **Qualitative Study**

○ Interviews / focus groups with schedulers, operations managers, HR staff, employees to understand perceptions: trust in model outputs, ease of use, reaction to fairness, schedule stability, disruptions, satisfaction.

○ Surveys for employee satisfaction, preference satisfaction, perceived fairness, perception of over/under staffing.

8. **Governance, Interpretability, Ethical Considerations**

○ Ensure model decisions can be explained: what constraints, what trade-offs were considered.

○ Ethical fairness: avoid bias (e.g. certain employees always given less desirable shifts), transparency in how preferences and constraints are handled.

○ Compliance with labour laws, union agreements, maximum/minimum hours, rest periods.

○ Employee data privacy, availability of opting out, visibility into how employee preferences data is used.

9. **Analysis and Reporting**

○ Quantitative analysis: compare before vs after pilot, or ML vs non-ML schedules on metrics mentioned.

○ Statistical tests for significance of improvements in cost, staffing variance, employee satisfaction.

○ Qualitative analysis: themes regarding adoption, trust, resistance, needed adjustments.

Advantages

- Better alignment of labour supply to actual operational demand, reducing costs from overstaffing or under-utilization.
- More efficient use of skills; employees are assigned to shifts matching their skills, training, and availability, reducing waste and improving productivity.
- Increased employee satisfaction through consideration of preferences, fair shift distribution, predictability and fairness.
- Improved compliance with labour laws, union rules, rest periods, etc., reducing legal or morale risk.
- Better handling of demand fluctuations (seasonality, promotions, unexpected spikes) via forecasting and dynamic scheduling.
- Reduction in overtime, shift swapping, absenteeism or schedule conflicts by anticipating problems.
- Cost savings in labour costs, lower need for emergency staffing or backup workforce.

Disadvantages

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

- Data challenges: incomplete, inaccurate, or inconsistent historical demand, attendance / absence, skills, cost data. Poor quality data leads to poor model performance.
- Model complexity and computational overhead: scheduling with many constraints, many employees, many skills, many locations can be combinatorially large; optimization may be slow or require approximations.
- Handling human factors: preferences, fairness, fatigue, work-life balance are subjective; enforcing them can reduce efficiency.
- Resistance to change: schedulers, HR, employees may distrust automated or ML-driven schedules; fear of loss of control.
- Interpretability: black-box ML/optimization models may be hard to explain; when schedules are generated automatically, understanding why certain assignments were made is important.
- Real-time adaptation difficulty: when unexpected events occur (absences, demand spikes), dynamically changing schedules may require manual override or may incur cost penalties.
- Cost / investment: acquiring/cleaning data, building/maintaining models, integrating with SAP modules, possibly acquiring partner tools (like Legion, WorkForce Software), training users.
- Fairness / legal / union constraints: certain shifts (night, weekend) may have legal premiums or union rules; balancing these constraints may limit optimal cost savings.
- Maintenance / drift: demand patterns change; models trained historically may become stale; periodic retraining and monitoring required.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on the available 2023 product sources, early deployments, and analogous research, these are likely outcomes and observed observations, along with discussion of trade-offs.

- **Improved Schedule Efficiency & Cost Savings**: Tools like SAP Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling and the Legion integration report that optimized schedules reduce labour cost variance, reduce overstaffing / understaffing, and improve alignment of scheduled labour hours to actual demand. For example, SAP's product description for Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling mentions "tailoring schedules to match business needs" and "accurate cost reporting" which suggests expected cost savings from better alignment. SAP+1
- **Better Forecasting Enables Proactive Scheduling**: Demand forecasting (by time-slot, by location) allows schedules to anticipate peaks (e.g. due to promotions, seasonal demand) which reduces last-minute shift fill-ins or overtime. Raj-aligned forecasts help in planning workforce skill mix and avoid costly reactive staffing.
- **Improved Employee Satisfaction & Fairness**: When employee preferences, availability, and fairness are factored into schedules (which partner tools like Legion mention), employee morale tends to improve; absenteeism and turnover may decrease. Schedulers appreciate less manual tinkering.
- **Enhanced Compliance & Predictability**: Schedules generated with constraints (labor rules, union, rest periods) help avoid violations. Predictability of shifts promotes less scheduling conflict and last-minute changes.
- **Challenges in Real-World Deployment**: Some schedules generated automatically may still need manual adjustments due to unforeseen events (employee absence, emergency orders). Also, data gaps (employee skills, availability, demand) degrade forecasting. Trade-offs often observed between cost minimization and fairness or employee satisfaction: pushing for optimal cost can lead to unpopular shift assignments or uneven shift burdens.
- **Scalability and Integration Hurdles**: For large supply chain operations spanning multiple sites, integrating data from various sources (attendance systems, HR systems, demand/throughput systems) was time-consuming. Tightly coupling ML scheduling with SAP data (SuccessFactors, HR, operations) is complex.
- **Interpretability & Trust**: Schedulers and HR teams often want to inspect or override schedules; transparent constraints, visualizations, "why this shift was assigned" are important. Without interpretability features, adoption lags.

V. CONCLUSION

AI and ML-driven workforce scheduling in SAP supply chain environments offer substantial potential to improve productivity, reduce labour cost variance, better match staffing to dynamic operational demand, and improve employee satisfaction and fairness. Existing SAP offerings and partner integrations in 2023 (e.g. SAP Workforce Forecasting & Scheduling, Legion with SuccessFactors, etc.) demonstrate that many organisations are moving in this direction.

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

However, benefits are not guaranteed: data quality, real-time adaptation, computational complexity, fairness, trust, and organizational change must be addressed. Implementation requires not just technical capability but strong alignment of HR, operations, management, and employee stakeholder expectations. Successfully deployed models balance conflicting objectives (cost vs fairness, stability vs flexibility), provide explanation/trust to users, and include feedback loops for continuous adaptation.

VI. FUTURE WORK

- Develop and test **reinforcement learning**-based dynamic scheduling models that can adapt on-the-fly to real-world disruptions (absences, demand spikes) with minimal manual intervention.
- Explore multi-objective optimization where cost, fairness, employee satisfaction, schedule smoothness (i.e. minimal schedule changes) are jointly optimized.
- Include richer human behavioural data (preferences, fatigue, commute times, shift desirability) in scheduling models to improve satisfaction.
- Real-time data integration: integrating live data (demand, throughput, absenteeism) so that scheduling can adjust or alert schedules in near real-time.
- Investigate interpretability tools: transparent rulesets, explanation dashboards for schedule decisions, audit trail for changes.
- Pilot studies in different industries and geographies (e.g. cold chain, pharmaceuticals, manufacturing, logistics hubs, retail) to test how ML-driven scheduling works under different constraints and cultures.
- Evaluate long-term outcomes: employee retention, turnover, satisfaction, health/fatigue, cost savings, and operational metrics over multiple years.

REFERENCES

1. Burgy, R., Michon-Lacaze, H., & Desaulniers, G. (2019). Employee scheduling with short demand perturbations and extensible shifts. *Omega — International Journal of Management Science, 89*, 177–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2018.10.009. IDEAS/RePEc
2. Lanka, S. (2024). Redefining Digital Banking: ANZ's Pioneering Expansion into Multi-Wallet Ecosystems. International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities, 10(01), 33-41.
3. Arulraj AM, Sugumar, R., Estimating social distance in public places for COVID-19 protocol using region CNN, Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, 30(1), pp.414-424, April 2023
4. Bangar Raju Cherukuri, "AI-powered personalization: How machine learning is shaping the future of user experience," ResearchGate, June 2024. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384826886_AIpowered_personalization_How_machine_learning_is_shaping_the_future_of_user_experience
5. Rijal, A., Bijvank, M., Goel, A., & De Koster, R. (2021). Workforce scheduling with order-picking assignments in distribution facilities. *Transportation Science, 55*(3), 725–746. https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.2020.1029. Erasmus University Rotterdam
6. Joseph, J. (2023). DiffusionClaims–PHI-Safe Synthetic Claims for Robust Anomaly Detection. International Journal of Computer Technology and Electronics Communication, 6(3), 6958-6973.
7. Pandey, P., Gajjar, H. K., & Shah, B. J. (2021). Determining optimal workforce size and schedule at the retail store considering overstaffing and understaffing costs. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 161*, Article 107656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107656. ScienceDirect
8. Gandhi, S. T. (2023). AI-Driven Compliance Audits: Enhancing Regulatory Adherence in Financial and| Legal Sectors. International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science & Technology (IJARCST), 6(5), 8981-8988.
9. Kiwanuka, F. N., Karadsheh, L., Alqatawna, J., & Muhamad Amin, A. H. (2021). Modeling employee flexible work scheduling as a classification problem. *Procedia Computer Science, 192*, 3281–3290. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.09.101. PubMed
10. Komarina, G. B. ENABLING REAL-TIME BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE INSIGHTS VIA SAP BW/4HANA AND CLOUD BI INTEGRATION.
11. Legrain, A., Omer, J., & Rosat, S. (2020). An online stochastic algorithm for a dynamic nurse scheduling problem. *European Journal of Operational Research, 285*(1), 196–210. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.09.027. ScienceDirect

International Journal of Research and Applied Innovations (IJRAI)

| ISSN: 2455-1864 | www.ijrai.org | editor@ijrai.org | A Bimonthly, Scholarly and Peer-Reviewed Journal |

||Volume 7, Issue 5, September–October 2024||

DOI:10.15662/IJRAI.2024.0705003

12. P. Chatterjee, "AI-Powered Payment Gateways : Accelerating Transactions and Fortifying Security in RealTime Financial Systems," Int. J. Sci. Res. Sci. Technol., 2023.
13. Adari, V. K., Chunduru, V. K., Gonepally, S., Amuda, K. K., & Kumbum, P. K. (2020). Explainability and interpretability in machine learning models. Journal of Computer Science Applications and Information Technology, 5(1), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.15226/2474-9257/5/1/00148.
14. Burke, E. K., De Causmaecker, P., Vanden Berghe, G., & Van Landeghem, H. (2004). The state of the art of nurse rostering. *Journal of Scheduling, 7*(6), 441–499. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOSH.0000046076.75950.0b. Ghent University Bibliography
15. Sugumar, R. (2022). Estimation of Social Distance for COVID19 Prevention using K-Nearest Neighbor Algorithm through deep learning. IEEE 2 (2):1-6.
16. Manda, P. (2024). Navigating the Oracle EBS 12.1. 3 to 12.2. 8 Upgrade: Key Strategies for a Smooth Transition. International Journal of Technology, Management and Humanities, 10(02), 21-26.
17. Hyndman, R. J., & Athanasopoulos, G. (2018). *Forecasting: Principles and practice* (2nd ed.). OTexts. https://otexts.com/fpp2/ (online). OTexts